RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2008

MENTAL HYGIENE ADMINISTRATION FACILITIES

Resident Grievance System

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
201 West Preston Street, Room 546

Baltimore, Maryland 21201

1-800-767-7454

INSERT

The 2008 **RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM** Annual Report was compiled by Carolyn Bell, former Director – Resident Grievance System, Jennie Bishop, former Interim Director, Patricia Dorsey, Administrative Officer – Resident Grievance System and, Darrell Nearon, PhD, Director – Resident Grievance System

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 - RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM	1
Background & Structure of Patients' Rights Program	
Resident Grievance System	2
Legal Assistance Provider	2 3
Coe Board of Review	4
RGS Organizational Chart	5
Rights Booklet, Poster, Informational Meetings	6
RGS Toll-Free Access	7
Comparison of FY-2005 & 2004 Grievances By Rights Category	8
RGS Activity Per Facility	9
RGS Data Collection and Classification	10
RGS Historical Data from 1985 to Present	12
RGS Central Review Committee Reviews	14
Historical perspective of Stage 4 Appeals	15
Grievance Outcome At Stages 1, 2, 3, and Referrals to Stage 4	16
Decision of Central Review Committee Appeals at 4 th Stage	
1A Physical Abuse	17
3B Civil Rights – Verbal Abuse	17
3G Civil Rights – Discrimination	18
4E Communication & Visits – Interpreter Services	18
4F Communication & Visits – Mail	18
5A Confidentiality & Disclosure – Records	19
7C Freedom of Movement- Least Restrictive Alternative	19
10G Personal Property – Theft/Loss/Destruction	20
12A Treatment Rights - Individual Treatment Plan	20
12D Treatment Rights - Mediationl	20
12E Treatment Rights – Periodic Review	21
PART II – FACILITY DATA	22
Aggregate Data (Total of all Facilities)	23
Walter P. Carter Center	31
Eastern Shore Hospital Center	39
Thomas B. Finan Hospital Center	47

	Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center	55
	RICA – Baltimore	63
	John L. Gildner RICA - Rockville	70
	RICA- Southern	77
	Springfield Hospital Center	84
	Spring Frove Hospital Center	92
	Upper Shore CMHC	100
PART II	I – LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDERS	108
	Walter P. Carter Hospital Center - Law Offices of Terri Mason	109
	Eastern Shore Hospital Center - Law Offices Jennings & Treff	114
	Thomas B. Finan Hospital Center - Linda Golden, Attorney at Law	118
	Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center - Law Office of Terri Mason	122
	RICA- Baltimore - Ria P. Rochvarg, P.A.	130
	J. L. Gildner RICA - Rockville - Hamlin & Swain, LLC	136
	RICA Southern – Hamlin & Swain, LLC	141
	Springfield Hospital Center - Ria Rochvarg, P.A.	146
	Spring Grove Hospital Center - Ria Rochvarg, P.A.	152
	Upper Shore CMHC - Law Offices Jennings & Treff	158

PART I RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM FISCAL YEAR 2008 ANNUAL REPORT

BACKGROUND AND STRUCTURE OF THE PATIENT RIGHTS PROGRAM

The program for the protection of patients' rights in the State psychiatric hospitals in Maryland, the Resident Grievance System, was established in 1985 as part of the negotiated settlement of the class action lawsuit, *Coe v Hughes, et al.* The suit focused on patients' rights to effective access to the judicial system, which is guaranteed by the United States Constitution. The settlement stipulated creation of a two-tier patients' rights advocacy system that would protect rights guaranteed to patients by federal and state laws. The program is governed by the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 10.21.14, entitled Resident Grievance System, adopted March 28, 1994 and amended January 26, 1998.

Resident Grievance System

The first tier of the program, the Resident Grievance System, is a four stage administrative process that ensures that the rights of residents in the Mental Hygiene Administration facilities are protected through a fair, efficient, and complete mechanism for receiving, investigating, and resolving residents complaints in a timely manner.

The Resident Grievance System is under the auspices of the Deputy Secretary for Public Health Services, Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. The Director of the program is responsible for hiring, evaluating, and assigning Rights Advisors. The program provides services for residents of the eleven Mental Hygiene Administration Psychiatric Facilities. In July 1, 2000, by order of the Secretary of the Department of Health & Mental Hygiene, the program was expanded to provide rights advocacy to the four Developmental Disabilities Administration State Residential Centers.

The Rights Advisors respond to complaints alleging a rights violation, assist residents in preserving their rights (voting, confidentiality, etc), serve as advocates for patients at forced medication panels, and provide patient rights education to residents and staff.

[&]quot;All opinions expressed in this report are subject to the limitations of the data available at the time of this report and are subject to change should additional data become available."

Personnel Reassignments

Effective October 2007, Linda Simms, will be at Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center, Harry Evans will also be covering part time. Gregory Wyatt will be responsible for Potomac Center and Rosewood Center. George Lyons and Susan Thomas will be assigned to Springfield.

Legal Assistance Provider

The second tier of the patient rights program, Legal Assistance Provider, is a group of independent law firms, whose services are obtained through State procurement, to provide specific legal assistance and representation to residents.

A priority of the Legal Assistance Provider is the representation of residents in obtaining benefits/entitlements. Following admission to a MHA facility, the social work staff discusses benefits/entitlements with the individual and assists them in making an application for benefits. After obtaining the client's consent, the Resident Grievance System makes a formal referral for representation to the Legal Assistance Provider. Typically, the resolution of the referral can takes months or even sometimes years; however, as long as the referral is made while the patient is in the MHA facility, the Legal Assistance provider can continue to represent them even though the client may be discharged prior to the resolution of the claim. Under the contract provisions, the Legal Assistance Provider is prohibited from accepting any percentage of the monies awarded to the client. These benefits and entitlements are an essential component in being able to discharge patients to the community.

In fiscal year 2008, the Legal Assistance Providers were successful in obtaining \$60,445.83 in lump sum benefits and \$260,215.68 in monthly benefits (\$21,684.64 x 12 months). The total amount of benefits awarded to clients in lump sum and monthly payments were \$320,661.51. Residents who are taken to Clinical Review Panels (forced medication) are entitled to file administrative and circuit court appeals. Legal Assistance providers are required to represent residents at these appeals provided the resident gives written consents to their representation.

The Legal Assistance Providers are also responsible for providing legal assistance to residents at Stages 3 and 4 of the Resident Grievance System, identifying residents who may have a legal problem but may not be able to request assistance due to their disability, and assisting patients with general civil claims by making referrals to pro-bono legal services.

In 2008, the following law firms served as the Legal Assistance Provider at the designated Mental Hygiene Administration facilities: Linda Golden, Esq.

Thomas B. Finan Hospital Center

Hamlin & Swain, LLC

John Gildner Regional Institute for Children

& Adolescents

Southern Regional Institute for Children &

Adolescents

Terri D. Mason, P.C.

Walter P. Carter Hospital Center Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center

Ria P. Rochvarg, P.A.

Springfield Hospital Center Spring Grove Hospital Center

Baltimore Regional Institute for Children &

Adolescents

Jennings & Treff Law Offices

Eastern Shore Hospital Center

Upper Shore Community Mental Health

Center

Coe Board of Review

The Coe Board of Review, which oversees the Legal Assistance Program, is an independent board comprised of attorneys, physicians, mental health professionals, and representatives of patient advocacy groups. The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene and counsel for the Coe plaintiffs jointly appoint the members of the board. The members of the Board are:

The Honorable Kathleen G. Cox, Chair Baltimore County Circuit Court Judge

Thomas E. Arthur

Randall M. Lutz, Esq.

Terry Bohrer

Vacancy

Gregory R. Dent, Esq.

George A. Nilson, Esq.

Lisa Dixon, M.D.

Nevett Steele, Jr., Esq.

Michael S. Finkle

Edgar Wiggins

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

Carolyn Bell Director 410-767-6888 800-747-7454

Jennie Bishop Clinical Review Panel Coordinator

410-970-7412 Pager: 410-681-8305

Patricia Dorsey Management Associate/ Database Program Mgr. 410-767-1051

RIGHTS ADVISOR ASSIGNMENTS

Harry Evans III	RICA-Baltimore Rockville Southern	410-368-7957 301-251-6823 301-372-1886
Edward Fowler	Database Program Mgr./ Back-up support for RA's & CRP's	410-209-6465
Anne Harrison	Spring Grove	410-402-7560
George Lyons	Springfield	410-970-7410
Susan Thomas	Clifton T. Perkins	410-724-3165
Charlotte Simmons	Spring Grove Springfield	410-402-7594 410-970-7411
Linda Simms	Walter P. Carter Clifton T. Perkins	410-209-6079 410-724-3000 X 6165
Sharon Wert	Eastern & Upper Shore/ Holly	410-221-2345(E) 410-778-6800(U)
Gregory Wyatt	Rosewood/Potomac	410-951-5169
Edward Zook	Thomas B. Finan Brandenburg	1-240-313-3567 301-777-2263

RIGHTS INFORMATION

Rights information is available and is provided to residents, their family and friends, and facility staff in various formats: posters, handbooks, and quarterly meetings at all facilities.

Patient Rights Poster

Patients Rights Posters are located in all public access areas and residential units of all Mental Hygiene Administration facilities. The Poster contains the toll-free telephone numbers of the Resident Grievance System as well as the Legal Assistance Provider for each facility. Facilities are encouraged to place posters adjacent to the public telephones located on each residential unit so that residents have access to the numbers when using the telephone.

Patient Rights Booklet

A copy of the Patient Rights Booklet, Rights of Persons in Maryland's Psychiatric Facilities, is given to all persons admitted to a State psychiatric facility and to new employees during orientation. In addition, the Rights Booklet, along with a letter of introduction from the RGS, is mailed to the parent or guardian of an adolescent at the time of their admission. The letter introduces the services provided by the RGS and the name and telephone number of the Rights Advisor assigned to their child's facility.

This booklet is revised on a yearly basis to ensure that changes in the law are incorporated and residents are receiving the latest information regarding any rights issues.

Korean, Spanish and Vietnamese translations, as well as a Braille edition, of the Rights Booklet are available. These are regularly distributed to admission offices at all facilities and are available, upon request, from the Rights Advisor at your facility or from the Director of the RGS.

Informational Meetings

Patient rights education is routinely presented to residents once every three months on each units at all facilities by the Rights Advisor and Legal Assistance Provider. In addition to the group sessions, patients at acute care and adolescent facilities are seen individually by the Rights Advisor, shortly after their admission, in order to acquaint them with RGS services, review the rights booklet, and answer any questions they may have regarding patient rights. This data is included on the Categories of Rights Issues - Information/Assistance Sheet, 11B Rights Protection System, Explanation of Rights, for each facility.

Rights Advisors present training on patient rights during the orientation for new staff and the annual training in-services scheduled for employees. Specifically designed education presentations are developed and scheduled as the need arises, upon the request of patients or staff, or in response to grievances, which have identified deficits in a specific area of patient rights.

TOLL-FREE ACCESS TO RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

In January 1996, the Resident Grievance System implemented toll-free telephone access to the Central Office, 1-800-RGS-7454, in addition to the two regular lines. This service allows residents to have immediate contact with the Resident Grievance System and has enhanced the ability to respond rapidly to patient concerns.

The RGS Administrative Officer, Patricia Dorsey, responds to calls from patients, obtains information regarding the caller's concern, records the information on the telephone log, and relays the concern to the Rights Advisor. If the issue presented is one that requires an immediate response, e.g. abuse, neglect, safety concern, etc., Ms. Dorsey designates it as a high priority and directs a Rights Advisor to immediately respond to the concern. If the issue does not require an immediate response, the Rights Advisor calls the patient and schedules a mutually convenient time that they can meet to discuss the concern.

ACTIVITY ON TOLL-FREE LINE FOR FY-2008

Month	Total Calls	Average Daily Calls	Duration (Minutes)	Average Call Duration
July '08	361	12.0	630	1.75
August	432	13.9	748	1.93
September	416	13.4	814	2.01
October	429	13.7	678	1.92
November	446	14.9	641	1.73
December	372	12.4	617	1.77
January '07	335	11.2	510	1.55
February	380	12.7	585	1.55
March	469	15.7	699	1.72
April	507	16.9	725	1.46
May	423	14.1	580	1.68
June	439	14.6	704	1.81
Total	5,009	16.5	7,931	1.87

TOTAL NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF GRIEVANCES FOR ALL FACILITIES BY RIGHTS CLASSIFICATION FOR FY-2008 COMPARED TO FY 2007 DATA

RIGH	ITS CLASSIFICATION	FY-20	008	FY-20	007
(1)	Treatment Rights	173	18%	184	18%
(2)	Civil Rights	160	16%	155	16%
(3)	Environmental	108	10%	145	15%
(4)	Freedom Of Movement	128	13%	130	13%
(5)	Abuse	170	17%	102	10%
(6)	Personal Property	65	7%	75	8%
(7)	Communication & Visits	38	4%	52	5%
(8)	No Right Involved	19	2%	34	3%
(9)	Confidentiality	24	3%	26	3%
(10)	Admission, Discharge & Transfer	35	4%	25	3%
(11)	Resident-Resident Assault	20	2%	16	2%
(12)	Money	7	1%	14	1%
(13)	Rights Protection System	11	1%	14	1%
(14)	Other	16	1%	11	1%
(15)	Neglect	4	1%	3	1%
(16)	Deaths	0	0%	1	1%
TOTA	AL	978		987	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM ACTIVITY PER FACILITY

FACILITY	Grievances	Information Assistance Requests	Clinical Review Panels	Total Cases
WALTER P. CARTER CENTER	58	37	12	107
CLIFTON T. PERKINS HOSPITAL CENTER	161	188	40	389
EASTERN SHORE HOSPITAL CENTER	23	188	8	219
RICA-BALTIMORE	130	45	0	175
RICA-ROCKVILLE	41	93	0	134
RICA-SOUTHERN	25	23	0	48
SPRINGFIELD HOSPITAL CENTER	230	347	43	620
SPRING GROVE HOSPITAL CENTER	278	364	17	659
THOMAS B. FINAN CENTER	19	58	17	94
UPPER SHORE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	13	123	2	138
Total	978	1466	139	2583

RGS DATA COLLECTION AND CLASSIFICATION

The data in the Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2008 are reported in three major classifications: Grievances, Clinical Review Panels, and Information/Assistance. For purposes of data collection, form RGS-24 "Category of Rights Issues" assigns all cases to one of 16 major categories: Abuse, Admission/Discharge/Transfer; Civil Rights/ Communication & Visits' Confidentiality & Disclosure; Environmental Freedom of Movement; Money; Neglect; Personal Property; Rights protection System; Treatment Rights; Other; No Right involved; Resident-Resident Assault; and Death. Most of the major categories have subcategories.

Resident Grievance System Regulations (GRS), COMAR 10.21.14, defines "Rights Issues" broadly; "an alleged violation of a resident's rights guaranteed by federal and State constitutions, statues, regulations, common law, or policies of the Department, Mental Hygiene Administration, and the facility". When the RGS was created, the rationale for this broad definition was precisely because not all rights issues are stipulated in the law but this does not make them any less a rights issue. The RGS Director has the responsibility for developing the classification system and providing guidelines.

Grievances

Cases classified as Grievances are those issues that allege a violation of patients' rights and whose goal is to obtain a specific outcome. The Rights Advisors' role in a grievance is to be a neutral fact finder, conduct a thorough investigation, and render a decision based on the evidence.

Grievances are determined to be Valid, Invalid, or Inconclusive. When sufficient evidence does not exist to prove or disprove the allegation, the grievance is determined to be inconclusive.

The Rights Advisor' role is to work toward the achievement of a mutually satisfactory resolution at the lowest possible stage.

Grievance investigation and resolution generally requires the Rights Advisor to have multiple contacts with the grievant and others, up to 65 working days, the total time permitted for resolution of the grievance by the RGS Regulations, COMAR 10.21.14/

Grievances consume the largest amount of Rights Advisor's time. The Rights Advisors' role is to be non-adversarial and to function as a mediator, facilitator or negotiator.

In fiscal year 2008,

Rights Advisors processed 978 grievances of which 697 were closed at Stage 1 and 281 were appealed to Stage 2

Clinical Review Panels

In accordance with the Annotated Code of Maryland, Health General 10-708, the Clinical Review Panel (CRP) determines whether to approve the administration of medication over the patients' objection.

The Rights Advisor serves as an advocate for the patient and makes every effort to ensure that the patients' objections to the medication are presented during the CRP process. The Rights Advisor provides the patient with information regarding rights throughout the CRP process, and if the patient elects to appeal the decision, assists them in filing an appeal to the Administrative Law Judge and the Circuit Court.

A Clinical Review Panel requires the Rights Advisor to have multiple contacts with the patient during the panel and appeal process. As an advocate for the patient, the Right Advisor's role at the CRP is adversarial since they are representing the patients' objections to the medication over those of the facility.

In fiscal year 2008, a total of 139 Clinical Review Panels (CRP) were scheduled. The greatest number of CRP's 36.7% (51) were patients who were court committed as incompetent to stand trial (1ST) and 19.4" (27) were patients who had been court committed after having been found not criminally responsible (NCR).

The Panel approved medication in 71.2% (99) of the 139 panels held. Patients filed an administrative appeal of the Panel's decision 45% 63 of the appeals. 17.9% 25 of the cases were appealed to the Circuit Court and 64,0% 16 of the decisions were upheld by the Circuit Court.

The Resident Grievance System Rights Advisors assisted and advocated for patients at all Clinical Review Panels held, filed for an Administrative Hearings for those patients who CRP determined that they could be medicated against their will, and assisted patients in obtaining legal assistance to represent them at the appeal levels.

Information/Assistance

Cases classified as Information/Assistance do not allege a rights violation but are contacts in which the patient is seeking information, clarification, or assistance with a concern.

Typically, it involves a single meeting, lasting an average of 40 minutes, and does not require a Rights Advisor to have extensive contact with others. The Rights Advisors' role is simple to prove information or assistance.

In Fiscal year 2008, Rights Advisors proved Information/Assistance for 1466 patients.

HISTORICAL DATA OF RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS PER FISCAL YEAR SINCE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RGS IN NOVEMBER 1985

Year	Total	Classification Breakdown
2008	2583	Grievances 978, Clinical Review Panels 139 Information/Assistance 1,466
2007	3052	Grievances 999, Clinical Review Panels 206 Information/Assistance 1,847
2006	2918	Grievances 1028, Clinical Review Panels 176 Information/Assistance 1714
2005	2919	Grievances 941, Clinical Review Panels 179, Information/Assistance 1799
2004	2817	Grievances 1004, Clinical Review Panels 150, Information/Assistance 1663
2003	3106	Grievances 1110, Clinical Review Panels 183, Information/Assistance 1813
2002	3499	Grievances 1371, Clinical Review Panels 158, Information/Assistance 1970
2001	4021	Grievances 1681, Clinical Review Panels 161, Information/Assistance 2179
2000	4243	Grievances 1545, Clinical Review Panels 184, Information/Assistance 2514
1999	4733	Grievances 1547, Clinical Review Panels 184, Information/Assistance 2649
1998	4294	Grievances 1441, Clinical Review Panels 204, Information/Assistance 2649
1997	4025	Grievances 1514, Clinical Review Panels 228, Information/Assistance 2283

1996	4115	Grievances 1808, Clinical Review Panels 160, Information/Assistance 2147
1995	2740	Grievances 1873, Clinical Review Panels, 172, Information/Assistance 695
1994*	2940	Grievances 2720, Clinical Review Panels 220
1993*	3226	Grievances 3030, Clinical Review Panels 196
1992*	3074	Grievances 2829, Clinical Review Panels 245
1991**	2730	Grievances
1990**	2782	Grievances
1989**	2745	Grievances
1988**	2857	Grievances
1987**	2628	Grievances for full fiscal year of operation
1986**	2030	Grievances for eight months of operation

Since 1995 data has been reported in three categories, Grievances, Clinical Review Panels, and Information/Assistance.

- * 1992-1994 data was reported in two categories Grievances and Clinical Review Panels
- ** 1986 1991 data was reported in a single classification Grievances.

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM STAGE 4 REVIEWS BY CENTRAL REVIEW COMMITTEE

A Stage 4 Central Review Committee appeal is the last and final appeal level of the Resident Grievance System. A Rights Advisor is required to make every effort to negotiate, mediate, and resolve the grievance; however, the ultimate decision to resolve or appeal the grievance belongs to the patient. If the patient elects to appeal, even though the Rights Advisor may not believe that the request has merit, the Rights Advisor is required to assist the patient in filing the appeal.

The Central Review Committee is comprised of three members; Director of the Resident Grievance System, Director of the Mental Hygiene Administration, and Clinical Director of the Mental Hygiene Administration, or their designees.

The Committee reviews all prior information concerning the grievance and may conduct further investigation, if deemed by the Committee to be warranted. At the conclusion of the review, the Committee issues a written decision based on their findings and makes recommendations for corrective action, if warranted.

Within 20 working days, after receiving the recommendations from the Central Review Committee, the facility's Chief Executive Officer is required to forward to the Committee a written report of the status of the implementation of the Committee's recommendations. The Chief Executive Officer is required to make periodic reports to the Committee every 30 days until the recommendations are fully implemented.

There were a total of 12 grievances appealed to Stage 4 in Fiscal Year 2008 which represents 1% of the 978 grievances filed.

99% (11) of the Stage 4 appeals were filed by four (4) residents of Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center.

The remaining 1% (1) Stage 4 appeals were filed by a resident of Spring Grove Hospital.

The Stage 4 grievances reviewed by the Central Review Committee for Fiscal Year 2008 are detailed on the following pages.

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE OF STAGE 4 APPEALS

The following reflects the historical data on the number and percentage of total RGS grievances that reached the highest level of the RGS, Stage 4, and were reviewed by the Central Review Committee, dating from the current year to the implementation of the RGS in 1986.

Fiscal Year	Number &	% of Total
2008	12	1%
2007	22	2%
2006	36	4%
2005	44	5%
2004	40	4%
2003	16	1%
2002	22	2%
2001	113	7%
2000	43	3%
1999	13	0.8%
1998	17	0.5%
1997	19	1%
1996	11	0.6%
1995	10	0.5%
1994	13	0.5%
1993	27	1%
1992	45	2%
1991	39	2%
1990	79	3%
1989	50	2%
1988	57	2%
1987	91	4%
1986	61	3%

GRIEVANCE OUTCOME FOR STAGES 1, 2, 3 AND REFERRALS TO THE CENTRAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AT STAGE 4

- **STAGE 1:** 978 grievances were investigated by the Rights Advisor 682(710%) were closed through resolution or withdrawal
- STAGE 2: 277 (3%) grievances were reviewed by the Unit Director 106 (1%) were closed through resolution or withdrawal
- STAGE 3A: 20 (1%) grievances were reviewed by the Resident Rights Committee
- STAGE 3B: 173 (17%) grievances were reviewed by the Superintendent 147 (86%) were closed through resolution or withdrawal
- STAGE 4: 12 (1%) grievances were reviewed by the Central Review Committee which rendered the following decisions:

Grievances determined to be Valid	1
Grievances determined to be Inconclusive	10
Grievances determined to be Invalid	15

No clients were represented by an attorney at Stage 4

The data reflects that only 12 (1%) of the 978 grievances reached the 4th and final stage of the RGS. This figure supports that the RGS is achieving its mission of resolving grievances at the lowest possible level through mediation, negotiation and conciliation, and that the internal rights protection system is a fair, efficient, and complete remedy for the resolution of patient complaints.

CATEGORY 1A ABUSE – PHYSICAL

Grievance

A grievance was filed by the resident alleging that a male employee smacked her. The resident stated that she could not remember the exact date the incident happened. The resident reported that the male employee pulled the resident out of the bed and hit the resident causing her to cry.

The grievance was determined to be inconclusive at Stage 1 by the Rights Advisor, invalid at Stage 2 by the Unit Director, and invalid at Stage 3 B by the CEO

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Invalid

There appears to be no evidence to substantiate the alleged abuse as described by the patient; however, the Committee believes that staff should have documented the incident that occurred on December 3, 2007 in the patient's medical record but failed to do so. We concur with the recommendations made by the Rights Advisor.

Recommendations for Corrective Action

It is recommended that staff receive education/training regarding their failure to document the patient's behavior/incident on December 3rd.

CATEGORY 3B CIVIL RIGHTS – VERBAL ABUSE

Grievance

A fellow patient filed a complaint on behalf of the resident. The allegation was that the patients are hearing one PST curse profusely at the resident. The patient alleged that the PST was very loud in her statements and threatened to withhold food from the residents. The patient found the comments offensive. The PST allegedly stated, "mind your business".

The grievance was determined to be valid at Stage 1 by the Rights Advisor, inconclusive at Stage 2 by the Unit Director, and inconclusive at Stage 3B by the CEO.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Valid

The Committee found that the accusations of verbal abuse were valid and serious. The Committee identifies four (4) recommendations for corrective action. The recommendations were (1) employee named in the allegation be required to attend an inservice on verbal abuse, (2) facility/department heads are to ensure that staff are to be reminded of the requirements to respond to RGS requests in a timely manner, (3) facility/department heads to share results of an investigation with the Rights Advisor, (4) facility to ensure that responses to grievances are provided in a timely manner.

The CEO was to provide the Central Review Committee a report regarding the status of the implementation of the aforementioned recommendations.

CATEGORY 3G CIVIL RIGHTS – DISCRIMINATION

Grievance

Two complaints were filed by the grievant alleging that the librarian harasses and discriminates against the grievant. The grievant alleges the librarian would not do legal research for the grievant. Grievant further contended that the librarian denied grievant access to the courts and here, is violating grievant rights of access.

The grievance was determined to be invalid as Stages 1, 2, and 3B by the Rights Advisor, the Unit Director and CEO respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Invalid

The Committee found no evidence to substantiate the allegation.

CATEGORY 4E COMMUNICATION AND VISITS – INTERPERTER SERVICES

Grievance

The patient filed a complaint alleging that the "20 minutes per 2 hour "rules for use of the phone by patients is being interpreted very restrictively. The patient stated that the staff are telling patients that one use of the phone during the 2 hours is the limit, even if no one answers on the first call, they cannot make another for 2 hours.

The grievance was determined inconclusive at Stages 1, 2 and 3B by the Rights Advisor, Unit Director and CEO, respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Inconclusive

The Committee found no evidence to substantiate the allegation.

CATEGORY 4F

COMMUNICATIONS AND VISITS – MAIL

Grievance

The patient filed a complaint alleging that there is no Saturday mail delivery and when a holiday falls on a Monday, the patients' mail is delayed until Tuesday. The patient alleges that the Director of Security is committing a felony offense by holding the patients' mail for 3-4 days.

The Rights Advisor, Invalid at Stage 2 and 3B by the COO and CEO, determined the grievances inconclusive at Stage 1 respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Invalid

The Committee found no evidence to substantiate the allegation.

CATEGORY 5A CONFIDENTIALITY AND DISCLOSURE – RECORDS

Grievance

The patient filed a complaint alleging that the patient was unable to obtain copies of his records. The patient alleged that there is no full access to personal records and, patient has been attempting to have full access to personal records since arriving at the facility.

The grievance was determined to be invalid at Stages 1, 2 and 3B by the Rights Advisor, Unit Director and CEO respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Invalid

The Committee found no evidence to substantiate the allegation.

CATEGORY 7C FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT – LEAST RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVE 2 Grievances

Grievance #1

A grievance was filed by the Legal Assistance Provider (LAP) on behalf of the patient, alleging that the patients right for receiving treatment in the least restrictive environment was violated. Additionally, the LAP alleges that the patient is not receiving appropriate services through the Developmental Disabilities Administration (DDA). The patient reports that a transfer to regional hospital or state agency serving people with developmental disabilities would be more appropriate.

The grievance was determined invalid at Stages 1, 2, 3A and 3B by the Rights Advisor, Clinical Director, Residents Right Committee and the CEO respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Invalid

The Committee found no evidence to substantiate the allegation.

Grievance #2

The patient alleges that the current placement at the facility violates the patients right to receive treatment in the least restrictive environment. The patient alleges that he has maintained a Super Level 3 for five (5) years but has not been moved from maximum security.

The grievance was determined to be inconclusive by the Rights Advisor at Stage 1, invalid at Stages 2, 3A and 3B by the Unit Director, Residents Right Committee and the CEO respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Inconclusive

There appears to be an overwhelming amount of documentation that supports that the resident is at high risk for re-offending and the need for continued treatment in a secured setting. It is recommended that a clinical conference be held for this resident.

CATEGORY 10C PERSONAL PROPERTY – DESTRUCTION

Grievance

The grievant alleged that when transferred from Unit 2 South to 2 East some personal belongings were not transferred with the patient. The patient alleges that some items were located while other items were not.

The grievance was determined to be inconclusive at Stage 1 by the Rights Advisor, invalid at Stage 2 by the Unit Director and inconclusive at Stages 3A and 3B by the Residents Rights Committee and the CEO respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Inconclusive

Insufficient evidence exists to either validate or invalidate the allegation. The resident acknowledged that some of the property alleged to be missing is not replaceable. Based on the information received from staff, the resident packed his own belongings and the room was searched after the resident vacated the room. No items were found. The resident elected to store some belongings in the main activity closet on the ward. When these items were found they reportedly were sent to the residents new unit.

CATEGORY 12A TREATMENT RIGHTS – INDIVIDUAL TREATMENT PLAN

Grievance

Patient filed a complaint alleging that the physicians made inaccurate entries on the annual interdisciplinary treatment plan. The patient alleges that the physician committed defamation.

The grievance was determined to be invalid at Stage 1 by the Rights Advisor, Stage 2 waived by the Clinical Director and, invalid at Stage 3B by the CEO.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Invalid

The Committee found no evidence to substantiate the allegation

CATEGORY 12 D TREATMENT RIGHTS – MEDICATION

Grievance

The patient alleges that upon asking for PRN medicine, the nurse told the patient that he (nurse) was "busy". The patient alleges that 65 minutes later a request was made for medication and the same nurse yelled at the patient and told the patient to come back later. The patient alleges denial of medical care.

The grievance was determined to be inconclusive at Stage 1, 2 and 3B by the Rights Advisor, Unit Director and CEO respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Inconclusive

The committee concurs with the findings at previous stages.

CATEGORY 12 E TREATMENT RIGHTS – PERIODIC REVIEW

Grievance

The patient alleges that the assigned social worker restricted patient's review of his personal medical record. The patient alleges that constitutional rights were being violated. Patient further alleges abuse and neglect, cruel and unusual treatment for not being able to review his personal medical record.

The grievance was determined invalid at Stages 1, 2, 3A and 3B by the Rights Advisor, Unit Director, Residents Rights Committee and the CEO respectively.

Decision of the Central Review Committee - Invalid

The Committee found no evidence to substantiate the allegation.

PART II

MENTAL HYGIENE ADMINISTRATION

FACILITY DATA

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

AGGREGATE DATA FOR MENTAL HYGIENE ADMINISTRATION FACILITIES

FISCAL YEAR 2008

Carolyn Bell Director

Jennie Bishop Clinical Review Panel Specialist

> Patricia Dorsey Edward Fowler Data Program Managers

AGGREGATE FISCAL YEAR 08

GRIEVANCES	978
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	1466
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	139
TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	2583

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	170	1
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	35	30
CIVIL RIGHTS	160	35
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	38	54
CONFIDENTIALITY	24	17
ENVIRONMENTAL	108	19
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	128	19
MONEY	7	166
NEGLECT	4	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	65	16
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	11	236
TREATMENT RIGHTS	173	28
OTHER	16	20
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	19	17
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	20	790
DEATH	0	18
TOTAL	978	1466

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 AGGREGATE

		- RIGHTS		
Decision at Stage 1			Action at Stage 1	
	1934		Resolved	600
Invalid	405		Withdrawn	82
Inconclusive	331		Outside Referral	15
Not Investigated	46			
Total Number of Case Total Number of Case	s Closed At Stage 1 s Referred To Stage 2	697 281		
		2 - UNIT I 277 GRIE\	DIRECTOR VANCES	
Decision at Stage 2			Action at Stage 2	
Valid	39		Resolved	92
Invalid	180		Withdrawn	14
Inconclusive	58		Outside Referral	0
Total Number of Case	s Closed At Stage 2	106	%	
	s Referred To Next Stage	171	%	
******	STAGE 3A - R		RIGHTS COMMITTEE	**********
Decision at Stage 3A			Action at Stage 3A	
Valid	0		Resolved	0
Invalid	14		Withdrawn	3
Inconclusive	6		Outside Referral	0
Total Number of Case		3	%	
Total Number of Case	s Referred To Stage 3B	16	%	
			INTENDENT/CEO	
Decision at Stage 3B			Action at Stage 3B	
Valid	15		Resolved	127
Invalid	117		Withdrawn	20
Inconclusive	41		Outside Referral	0
Total Number of Case		147	%	
	s Referred To Stage 4	26	%	
*********			Review Committee	************
Decision at Stage 4			Action at Stage 4	
Valid	1		Resolved	12
Invalid	10		Withdrawn	14
Inconclusive	15		Outside Referral	0
Total Number of Case	s Closed at Stage 4	26	%	*************

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

	A. Physical	8. MONEY 7 0 A. Dissipation of Assets
		1 B. Easy Access
8	B. Sexual	The state of the s
20	C. Mental	3 C. Facility Account 0 D. Limitation
1	D. Verbal	
2. AE	DMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 35	1 E. Safekeeping
0	A. Admission	0 F. Use of Funds
0	B. Hearing	0 G. Exploitation
9	C. Transfer	 H. Entitlements/Benefits
25	D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 4
1	E. Respite Care	
	THE STATE OF THE S	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 65
3. CI	VIL RIGHTS 160	5 A. Exclusion
0	A. Abortion	7 B. Limitations
63	B. Addressing A Resident	2 C. Protection
1	C. Barrier Free Design	4 D. Purchase or Receive
6	D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
2	E. Competency	2 F. Storage
33	F. Dignity	45 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
10	G. Discrimination	44 DICUTE PROTECTION
10	H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 11
1	I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
0	J. Marriage and Divorce	B. Explanation of Rights
1	K. Media	C. Notification of Rights
4	L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
11	M. Privacy	2 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
4	N. Religion	 F. Complaint Procedure
0	O. Sexuality	4 G. Retaliation
14	P. Harassment	 H. Legal Case Review
0		
	Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 173
0	R. Immigration	23 A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. CC	OMMUNICATION and VISITS 38	3 B. Informed Consent
2	A. Attorney/Legal Matters	55 C. Medical Care
1	B. Clergy	51 D. Medication
0	C. Visitors	3 E. Periodic Review
0	D. Stationery and Postage	 F. Research/At Risk Procedures
14	E. Telephone	 G. Knowledge of
20	F. Mail	 H. Name of Treatment Staff
1	G. Interpreter Service	35 I. Alternate Treatment Services
		 J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CC	ONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 24	0 K. Minor Placed with Adults
14	A. Records	0 L. Aftercare Plan
9	B. Privileged Communications	 M. Advance Medical Directive
1	C. Photocopying	1 N. Pain Management
0	D. Photographing	2000
	DUDONMENTAL 100	13 OTHER /6
	IVIRONMENTAL 108	5 A. Forensic Issues
6	A. Clothing	5 B. Guardianship
20	B. Diet	6 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
11	C. Personal Hygiene	
32	D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 19
21	E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT
18	F. Humane	
FR	EEDOM OF MOVEMENT 128	16. DEATH 0
16	A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES 978
13	B. General Restrictions	TOTAL GIVETANGE GAGES 370
72	C. Least Restrictive Alternative	FISCAL YEAR 2008
		ACCRECATE
4	D. Leave of Absence	AGGREGATE
12	E. Restraint	
5	F. Seclusion	

6 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 AGGREGATE

GRIEVANCE 978

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	376	38.5	<18	202	20.7	African American	497	50.9
Male	578	59.2	18-44	461	47.2	Asian	1	0.1
Class	24	2.5	45-64	262	26.8	Caucasian	416	42.6
Total	978		65+	29	3.0	Hispanic	8	0.8
			Class	39	4.0	Other	17	1.7
			Total	978		Class	39	4.0
						Total	978	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

. ABUSE 1	8. MONEY 166 2 A. Dissipation of Assets
1 A. Physical	1 B. Easy Access
0 B. Sexual	2 C. Facility Account
0 C. Mental	1 D. Limitation
0 D. Verbal	
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 30	1 E. Safekeeping
	0 F. Use of Funds
1 A. Admission	G. Exploitation
2 B. Hearing	159 H. Entitlements/Benefits
5 C. Transfer	9. NEGLECT 0
22 D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 0
E. RespiteCare	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 16
CIVIL RIGHTS 35	A. Exclusion
	B. Limitations
0 A. Abortion	0 C. Protection
B. Addressing A Resident	2 D. Purchase or Receive
C. Barrier Free Design	
14 D. Business and Personal	2 E. Receipt
0 E. Competency	3 F. Storage
0 F. Dignity	9 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0 G. Discrimination	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 236
2 H. Education	0 A. Complaint Forms
2 I. Labor and Compensation	
J. Marriage and Divorce	129 B. Explanation of Rights
2 K. Media	1 C. Notification of Rights
0 L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 M. Privacy	F. Complaint Procedure
0 N. Religion	G. Retaliation
O. Sexuality	106 H. Legal Case Review
2 P. Harassment	
6 Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 28
4 R. Immigration	9 A. Individual Treatment Plan
- Carrier and Table 1913 S	B. Informed Consent
. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 54	4 C. Medical Care
50 A. Attorney/Legal Matters	7 D. Medication
0 B. Clergy	2 E. Periodic Review
0 C. Visitors	F. Research/At Risk Procedures
D. Stationery and Postage	
1 E. Telephone	1 G. Knowledge of
3 F. Mail	H. Name of Treatment Staff
G. Interpreter Service	 I. Alternate Treatment Services
O. Interpreter dervice	 J. Clinical Review Panel
. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 17	 K. Minor Placed with Adults
15 A. Records	L. Aftercare Plan
1 B. Privileged Communications	2 M. Advance Medical Directive
1 C. Photocopying	0 N. Pain Management
D. Photographing	o Hill an management
D. I notographing	13. OTHER 20
. ENVIRONMENTAL 19	14 A. Forensic Issues
2 A. Clothing	1 B. Guardianship
9 B. Diet	5 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
4 C. Personal Hygiene	5 C. Rights Outside Julisdiction
2 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 15
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 79
2 F. Humane	16. DEATH 18
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 19	IV. DEATH
8 A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 1466
7 B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
	FIGURE FEAR 2000
	AGGREGATE
2 D. Leave of Absence	A STEEL F
0 E. Restraint	
0 F. Seclusion	
o 1. coolación	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION (INFORMATION CASES)-FY 2008

					•			
A	G	G	R	F	G	Δ	T	F

			INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE			1466		
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	464	31.6	<18	137	13	African American	730	49.8
Male	997	68.1	18-44	695	47.6	Asian	8	0.5
Class	5	0.3	45-64	537	36.5	Caucasian	677	46.3
Total	1466		65+	36	2.5	Hispanic	26	1.8
			Class	6	0.4	Other	19	1.3
			Total	1466		Class	6	0.4
						Total	1466	

DECISION AND ACTION (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS) - FY 2008

AGGREGATE

CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS

Patients Scheduled for One or More a Panels	96		Legal Status		
Scheduled as an Initial Panel		56.8 %	Not Criminally Responsible	27	19.4 %
Scheduled Less than 90 Days Since Last Panel	29	20.9 %	Incompetent to Stand Trial	51	36.7 %
Scheduled More than 90 Days Since Last Panel		13.7 %	Civilly Committed	0	43.9 %
Total Number of Panels Scheduled	139				

Decision by Panel		Patient Response to Panel Decision				
Medication Approved	99	71.2 %	Decision Not Appealed	36	76.8	%
Medication Not Approved	16	11.5 %	Decision Appealed to ALJ	63	63.6	%
No Decision Reached	3	2.2 %				
Cancelled Prior to Panel	21	15.1 %				

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Number of Appeals Requested 63

	ision	Patient Response to ALJ Dec		Decision by Administrative Law Judge		
40.5 %	17	Decision Not Appealed	66.7 %	42	CRP Decision Upheld	
59.5 %	25	Decision Appealed to CC	9.5 %	6	CRP Decision Overturned	
			23.8 %	15	Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	
		egal Assistance Provider 38	resentation by	Rep		
		egal Assistance Provider 38			Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	

CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS

Number of Appeals Filed 25

Decision by Circuit Court							
ALJ Decision Upheld	16	64.0 %					
ALJ Decision Overturned	1	4.0 %					
Declared Mont/Withdrawn	8	32.0%					

Representation by Legal Assistance Provider 12

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS)

AGGREGATE

PANELS SCHEDULED 139

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	40	29.0	<18	0	0.0	African-American	89	64.0
Male	99	71.0	18-44	67	48.0	Asian	2	1.0
Total	139		45-64	61	44.0	Caucasian	42	30.0
			65+	11	8.0	Hispanic	2	1.0
			Total	139		Other	4	3.0
						Total	139	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

WALTER P. CARTER CENTER

FISCAL YEAR 2008

Linda Simms Rights Advisor

WALTER P. CARTER CENTER FISCAL YEAR 2008

TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	107
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	12
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	37
GRIEVANCES	58

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	12	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	1	1
CIVIL RIGHTS	20	0
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	0	0
CONFIDENTIALITY	0	1
ENVIRONMENTAL	5	0
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	1	0
MONEY	0	- 11
NEGLECT	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	6	0
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM (RGS)	0	3
TREATMENT RIGHTS	8	0
OTHER	0	0
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	4	0
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	1	21
DEATH	0	0
TOTAL	58	37

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 2008 Walter P. Carter Center

			1 - RIGHT 58 GRIEV		
Decision at Stage 1			oo order	Action at Stage 1	
Valid Invalid Inconclusive Not Investigated	11 11 21 15			Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	38 6 0
Total Number of Case Total Number of Case			44 14		***************************************
			2 - UNIT D	DIRECTOR /ANCES	
Decision at Stage 2				Action at Stage 2	
Valid Invalid Inconclusive	1 5 7			Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	5 0 0
Total Number of Case Total Number of Case	es Closed At Stag	e 2 ext Stage	5 8	****	****
	STA	AGE 3A - RE	SIDENT F		
Decision at Stage 3A				Action at Stage 3A	λ.
Valid Invalid Inconclusive	0 0 0			Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	0 0 0
Total Number of Case Total Number of Case			0 0	**************	********
		STAGE 3B -	SUPERIN 9 GRIEV	TENDENT/CEO /ANCES	
Decision at Stage 3B				Action at Stage 3E	3
Valid Invalid Inconclusive	1 2 6			Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	8 1 0
Total Number of Case Total Number of Case			9 0		
	SŤ	AGE 4 - CEI	NTRAL RE		
Decision at Stage 4				Action at Stage 4	Jurisdiction
Valid	0			Resolved	0
Invalid Inconclusive	0			Withdrawn Outside Referral	0
Total Number of Case	s Closed At Stag		0		

Alternative

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

1. ABUSE 0	8. MONEY 11
0 A. Physical	 A. Dissipation of Assets
0 B. Sexual	B. Easy Access
0 C. Mental	C. Facility Account
0 D. Verbal	D. Limitation
A ADMICCIONIDICOLLABORITO ANCEED 4	0 E. Safekeeping
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 1	0 F. Use of Funds
A. Admission	0 G. Exploitation
0 B. Hearing	
0 C. Transfer	11 H. Entitlements/Benefits
1 D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 0
0 E. Respite Care	
a and pictra	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 0
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 0	A. Exclusion
0 A. Abortion	B. Limitations
B. Addressing A Resident	C. Protection
C. Barrier Free Design	 D. Purchase or Receive
 D. Business and Personal 	0 E. Receipt
0 E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0 F. Dignity	G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
G. Discrimination	C. Helicedar Beat deliction
0 H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 3
0 I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
	B. Explanation of Rights
	C. Notification of Rights
0 K. Media	D. Rights Advisor
L. Personal Search	
M. Privacy	E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 N. Religion	F. Complaint Procedure
O. Sexuality	G. Retaliation
P. Harassment	 H. Legal Case Review
0 Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 0
0 R. Immigration	
o it. immigration	A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 0	B. Informed Consent
A. Attorney/Legal Matters	C. Medical Care
0 B. Clergy	D. Medication
0 C. Visitors	 E. Periodic Review
D. Stationery and Postage	 F. Research/At Risk Procedures
	G. Knowledge of
0 E. Telephone	H. Name of Treatment Staff
0 F. Mail	I. Alternate Treatment Services
G. Interpreter Service	J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 1	
	0 K. Minor Placed with Adults
	L. Aftercare Plan
B. Privileged Communications	M. Advance Medical Directive
C. Photocopying	 N. Pain Management
D. Photographing	13. OTHER 0
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 0	A. Forensic Issues
0 A. Clothing	B. Guardianship C. Dintto Cutrida Indiadiation
0 B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
C. Personal Hygiene	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 0
0 D. Safety	
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 21
0 F. Humane	46 DEATH 0
	16. DEATH 0
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 0	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 37
A. Building and Grounds	
B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
C. Least Restrictive Alternative	WALTED & CARTER CENTER
D. Leave of Absence	WALTER P. CARTER CENTER
0 E. Restraint	
0 F. Seclusion	
G. Quiet Room	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 Walter P. Carter Center

			GR	IEVANC	ES 58			
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	21	36.2	<18	0	0.0	African American	21	36.2
Male	35	60.3	18-44	44	75.9	Asian	0	0.0
Class	2	3.4	45-64	12	20.7	Caucasian	34	58.6
	58		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
Total	50		Class	2	3.4	Other	1	1.7
			Total	58		Class	2	3.4

58

Total

58

Total

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

. ABUSE 0	8. MONEY 11
0 A. Physical	 A. Dissipation of Assets
0 B. Sexual	 B. Easy Access
0 C. Mental	C. Facility Account
0 D. Verbal	D. Limitation
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 1	 E. Safekeeping
0 A. Admission	0 F. Use of Funds
	G. Exploitation
0 B. Hearing	11 H. Entitlements/Benefits
0 C. Transfer	
1 D. Discharge 0 E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 0
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 0	A. Exclusion
0 A. Abortion	B. Limitations
B. Addressing A Resident	C. Protection
C. Barrier Free Design	D. Purchase or Receive
D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
0 E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0 F. Dignity	0 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
G. Discrimination	G. Hield Loss/Destruction
0 H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 3
I. Labor and Compensation	 A. Complaint Forms
J. Marriage and Divorce	 B. Explanation of Rights
0 K. Media	 C. Notification of Rights
0 L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
	E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 M. Privacy	F. Complaint Procedure
0 N. Religion	0 G. Retaliation
0 O. Sexuality	3 H. Legal Case Review
P. Harassment	3 11. Legal Case Neview
0 Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 0
0 R. Immigration	 A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 0	 B. Informed Consent
	C. Medical Care
	D. Medication
0 B. Clergy	E. Periodic Review
0 C. Visitors	F. Research/At Risk Procedures
D. Stationery and Postage	G. Knowledge of
0 E. Telephone	H. Name of Treatment Staff
0 F. Mail	I. Alternate Treatment Services
G. Interpreter Service	
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 1	J. Clinical Review Panel K. Miner Bleed with Adults
1 A. Records	K. Minor Placed with Adults
	L. Aftercare Plan
•	M. Advance Medical Directive
0 C. Photocopying	N. Pain Management
D. Photographing	13. OTHER 0
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 0	A. Forensic Issues
0 A. Clothing	0 B. Guardianship
0 B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
C. Personal Hygiene	
0 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 0
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 21
0 F. Humane	
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 0	
A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 37
B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
0 C. Least Restrictive Alternative	WALTER B. GARRES GEVEN
D. Leave of Absence	WALTER P. CARTER CENTER
0 E. Restraint	
0 F. Seclusion	

0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES) FY 2008

Walter P. Carter Center

INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE REQUESTS

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	9	24	<18	0	0	African American	18	49
Male	28	76	18-44	23	62	Asian	0	0.0
Class	0	0.0	45-64	14	38	Caucasian	18	49
Total	37		65+	0	0	Hispanic	0	0.0
rotar	0,		Class	0	0.0	Other	1	3
			Total	37		Class	0	0.0
						Total	37	

DECISION AND ACTION (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS) - FY 2008

I amal Ctatus

Walter P. Carter Center

CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS

Patients Scheduled for One or More Panels	7		Legal Status		
Scheduled as an Initial Panel	12	100.0 %	Not Criminally Responsible	1	8.3 %
Scheduled Less than 90 Days Since Last Panel	0	0.0 %	Incompetent to Stand Trial	3	25.0 %
Scheduled More than 90 Days Since Last Panel	0	0.0 %	Civilly Committed	0	0.0 %
Total Number of Panels Scheduled	12				

Decision by Panel			Patient Response to Panel Decision			
Medication Approved	6	50.0 %	Decision Not Appealed	1	16.7	%
Medication Not Approved	0	0.0 %	Decision Appealed to ALJ	5	83.3	%
No Decision Reached	0	0.0 %				
Cancelled Prior to Panel	6	50.0 %				

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Number of Appeals Requested 5

Decision by Administrative Law Ju	dge		Patient Response to Panel De	ecision	1
CRP Decision Upheld	3	60.0 %	Decision Not Appealed	2	66.7 %
CRP Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	Decision Appealed to CC	1	33.3 %
Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	2	40.0 %			
	Rep	resentation by	Legal Assistance Provider 2		
***************************************	*****				

CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS

Number of Appeals Filed 1

Decision by Circuit Court			
CRP Decision Upheld	0	0.0 %	
ALJ Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	
Declared Moot/Withdrawn	1	100.0 %	
	Re	resentation	e Provider

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS)

Walter P. Carter Center

PANELS SCHEDULED 12

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	1	8.0	<18	0	0.0	African-American	6	50.0
Male	11	92.0	18-44	8	67.0	Asian	0	0.0
Total	12		45-64	3	25.0	Caucasian	3	25.0
			65+	1_	8.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Total	12		Other	3	25.0
						Total	12	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

EASTERN SHORE HOSPITAL CENTER

FISCAL YEAR 2008

Sharon Wert Rights Advisor

EASTERN SHORE HOSPITAL CENTER FISCAL YEAR 2008

GRIEVANCES 23
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES 188
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS 8
TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS 219

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	5	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	2	0
CIVIL RIGHTS	12	3
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	0	13
CONFIDENTIALITY	1	6
ENVIRONMENTAL	0	1
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	2	4
MONEY	0	14
NEGLECT	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	1	2
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM (RGS)	0	2
TREATMENT RIGHTS	0	0
OTHER	0	0
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	0	1
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	0	142
DEATH	0	0
TOTAL	23	188

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 2008 Eastern Shore Hospital Center

STAGE 1 - RIGHTS ADVISOR 23 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 1				Action at Stage 1		
Valid	5	21.7 %		Resolved	15	65.2 %
Invalid	14	60.9 %		Withdrawn	6	26.1 %
Inconclusive	4	17.4 %		Outside Referral	1	4.3 %
Not Investigated	0	0.0 %				
Total Number of Ca	ses Close	ed At Stage 1	22	95.7 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 2-3			1	4.3 %		
********	******	********	******	******	*****	*******

STAGE 2 - UNIT DIRECTOR 1 GRIEVANCES

			Action at Stage 2		
0	0.0 %		Resolved	1	100.0 %
1	100.0 %		Withdrawn	0	0.0 %
0	0.0 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
ses Close	ed At Stage 2	1	100.0 %		
ses Refe	rred To Next Stage	0	0.0 %		
	1 0 ases Close	1 100.0 %	1 100.0 % 0 0.0 % ases Closed At Stage 2 1	0 0.0 % Resolved 1 100.0 % Withdrawn 0 0.0 % Outside Referral ases Closed At Stage 2 1 100.0 %	0 0.0 % Resolved 1 1 100.0 % Withdrawn 0 0 0.0 % Outside Referral 0 ases Closed At Stage 2 1 100.0 %

STAGE 3A - RESIDENT RIGHTS COMMITTEE O GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3	A			Action at Stage 3A		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
Total Number of C	ases Closed	At Stage 3A	0	%		
Total Number of C	ases Referred	d To Stage 3B	0	%		
*******	*****	*******	*******	*******************	**********	************

STAGE 3B - SUPERINTENDENT/CEO O GRIEVANCES

В			Action at Stage 3B		
0	%		Resolved	0	%
0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
ases Closed	At Stage 3B	0	%		
ases Referre	d To Stage 4	0	%		
	0 ases Closed	0 %	0 % 0 % 0 % ases Closed At Stage 3B 0	0 % Resolved 0 % Withdrawn 0 % Outside Referral ases Closed At Stage 3B 0 %	0 % Resolved 0 0 % Withdrawn 0 0 % Outside Referral 0 ases Closed At Stage 3B 0 %

STAGE 4 - CENTRAL REVIEW COMMITTEE 0 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 4				Action at Stage 4		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
Total Number of C	ases Closed	At Stage 4	0	%		
*******	*******	******	*******	********	*****	*******

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 5	8. MONEY 0
4 A. Physical	A. Dissipation of Assets
1 B. Sexual	0 B. Easy Access
0 C. Mental	0 C. Facility Account
0 D. Verbal	0 D. Limitation
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 2	0 E. Safekeeping
0 B. Hearing	0 F. Use of Funds
0 A. Admission	0 G. Exploitation
2 C. Transfer	0 H. Entitlements/Benefits
0 D. Discharge	- 1. Enddements/Deficits
	9. NEGLECT 0
0 E. Respite Care	40 DEDCONAL PROPERTY 1
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 12	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY1
0 A. Abortion	O A. Exclusion
10 B. Addressing A Resident	0 B. Limitations
C. Barrier Free Design	0 C. Protection
D. Business and Personal	0 D. Purchase or Receive
0 E. Competency	0 E. Receipt
2 F. Dignity	0 F. Storage
G. Discrimination	G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0 H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 0
I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
J. Marriage and Divorce	B. Explanation of Rights
0 K. Media	C. Notification of Rights
L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
0 M. Privacy	E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 N. Religion	F. Complaint Procedure
0 O. Sexuality	0 G. Retaliation
0 P. Harassment	H. Legal Case Review
0 Q. Voting	
0 R. Immigration	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS0
	A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 0	0 B. Informed Consent
0 A. Attorney/Legal Matters	0 C. Medical Care
0 B. Clergy	0 D. Medication
0 C. Visitors	0 E. Periodic Review
D. Stationery and Postage	F. Research/At Risk Procedures
0 E. Telephone	0 G. Knowledge of
0 F. Mail	0 H. Name of Treatment Staff
0 G. Interpreter Service	I. Alternate Treatment Services
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 1	0 J. Clinical Review Panel
0 A. Records	0 K. Minor Placed with Adults
B. Privileged Communications	0 L. Aftercare Plan
C. Photocopying	M. Advance Medical Directive
D. Photographing	0 N. Pain Management
C FANGRONMENTAL C	13. OTHER 0
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 0	A. Forensic Issues
O A. Clothing	B. Guardianship
0 B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
0 C. Personal Hygiene	44 NO BIOUT INNOLVED
0 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED0
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 0
F. Humane	ALLOW STORMS AND AND ADDRESS A
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 2	16. DEATH0
A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES 23
2 B. General Restrictions	
C. Least Restrictive Alternative	FISCAL YEAR 20 08
D. Leave of Absence	Eastern Shore Hospital Center
0 E. Restraint	
0 F. Seclusion	
0_ F. Seclusion	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 Eastern Shore Hospital Center

			GR	IEVANC	ES 23			
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	11	47.8	<18	0	0.0	African American	11	47.8
Male	11	47.8	18-44	13	56.5	Asian	0	0.0
Class	1	4.3	45-64	9	39.1	Caucasian	11	47.8
Total	23		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
10101			Class	1	4.3	Other	0	0.0
			Total	23		Class	1	4.3
						Total	23	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

0 A Physical	8. MONEY 14
0 A. Physical	A. Dissipation of Assets
0 B. Sexual	B. Easy Access
0 C. Mental	C. Facility Account
0 D. Verbal	D. Limitation
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 0	 E. Safekeeping
0 A. Admission	 F. Use of Funds
0 B. Hearing	 G. Exploitation
0 C. Transfer	14 H. Entitlements/Benefits
0 D. Discharge	A NECLECT O
0 E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 2
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 3	A. Exclusion
0 A. Abortion	B. Limitations
B. Addressing A Resident	C. Protection
C. Barrier Free Design	 D. Purchase or Receive
D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
0 E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0 F. Dignity	2 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
G. Discrimination	
0 H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 2
I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
J. Marriage and Divorce	 B. Explanation of Rights
1 K. Media	 C. Notification of Rights
0 L. Personal Search	 D. Rights Advisor
0 M. Privacy	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 N. Religion	 F. Complaint Procedure
0 O. Sexuality	G. Retaliation
1 P. Harassment	2 H. Legal Case Review
1 Q. Voting	TOWNS ON THE CONTRACT OF THE C
	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 0
0 R. Immigration	A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 13	B. Informed Consent
11 A. Attorney/Legal Matters	C. Medical Care
0 B. Clergy	 D. Medication
0 C. Visitors	 E. Periodic Review
D. Stationery and Postage	 F. Research/At Risk Procedures
0 E. Telephone	 G. Knowledge of
2 F. Mail	 H. Name of Treatment Staff
G. Interpreter Service	 I. Alternate Treatment Services
O. Interpreter dervice	J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 6	K. Minor Placed with Adults
6 A. Records	L. Aftercare Plan
B. Privileged Communications	M. Advance Medical Directive
0 C. Photocopying	N. Pain Management
D. Photographing	
	13. OTHER 0 0 A. Forensic Issues
S. ENVIRONMENTAL 1	
0 A. Clothing	0 B. Guardianship
0 B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
C. Personal Hygiene	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 1
1 D. Safety	
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 142
0 F. Humane	16. DEATH 0
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 4	
A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 188
4 B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
C. Least Restrictive Alternative	I I WI I WILL WAY
D. Leave of Absence	EASTERN SHORE HOSPITAL CENTER
0 E. Restraint	
0 F. Seclusion	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES) FY 2008

Eastern Shore Hospital Center

INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE REQUESTS 188

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	63	34	<18	0	0	African American	94	50
Male	125	66	18-44	99	53	Asian	0	0.0
Class	0	0.0	45-64	83	44	Caucasian	90	48
Total	188		65+	6	3	Hispanic	3	1.6
rotar	,00		Class	0	0.0	Other	1	1
			Total	188		Class	0	0.0
						Total	188	

DECISION AND ACTION (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS) - FY 2008

Eastern Shore Hospital Center

CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS

Decision by Panel		Patie	nt Response to Panel Decision		
Total Number of Panels Scheduled	8				
Scheduled More than 90 Days Since Last Panel	0	12.5 %	Civilly Committed	0	0.0 %
Scheduled Less than 90 Days Since Last Panel	2	0.0 %	Incompetent to Stand Trial	1	12.5 %
Scheduled as an Initial Panel	5	62.5 %	Not Criminally Responsible	0	0.0 %
Patients Scheduled for One or More Panels	7		<u>Legai Status</u>		

		Patient Response to Panel De	CISIO	1	
6	75.0 %	Decision Not Appealed	2	33.3	%
1	12.5 %	Decision Appealed to ALJ	4	66.7	%
0	0.0 %				
1	12.5 %				
	6 1 0	1 12.5 % 0 0.0 %	6 75.0 % Decision Not Appealed 1 12.5 % Decision Appealed to ALJ 0 0.0 %	6 75.0 % Decision Not Appealed 2 1 12.5 % Decision Appealed to ALJ 4 0 0.0 %	1 12.5 % Decision Appealed to ALJ 4 66.7 0 0.0 %

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Number of Appeals Requested 4

Decision by Administrative Law Judge			Patient Response to Panel Decision
CRP Decision Upheld	4	100.0 %	Decision Not Appealed 1 25.0 %
CRP Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	Decision Appealed to CC 3 75.0 %
Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	0	0.0 %	
	Rep	resentation by	Legal Assistance Provider 2
*********	*****	*********	*************************

CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS

Number of Appeals Filed 3

0	0.0 %			
0	0.0 %			
3	100.0 %			
	0	0 0.0 % 3 100.0 %	0 0.0 %	0 0.0 % 3 100.0 %

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS)

Eastern Shore Hospital Center

PANELS SCHEDULED 8

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	. 2	25.0	<18	0	0.0	African-American	6	75.0
Male	6	75.0	18-44	3	38.0	Asian	0	0.0
Total	8		45-64	4	50.0	Caucasian	2	25.0
			65+	1_	12.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Total	8		Other	0	0.0
						Total	8	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

THOMAS B. FINAN HOSPITAL CENTER

FISCAL YEAR 2008

Edward Zook Rights Advisor

THOMAS B. FINAN CENTER FISCAL YEAR 08

TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	94
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	17
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	58
GRIEVANCES	19

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	0	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	1	8
CIVIL RIGHTS	7	17
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	0	0
CONFIDENTIALITY	0	1
ENVIRONMENTAL	3	4
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	4	8
MONEY	0	1
NEGLECT	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	1	3
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	0	4
TREATMENT RIGHTS	3	4
OTHER	0	3
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	0	3
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	0	2
DEATH	0	0
TOTAL	19	57

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 2008 Thomas B. Finan Center

STAGE 1 - RIGHTS ADVISOR 19 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 1				Action at Stage 1		
Valid	3	15.8 %		Resolved	14	73.7 %
Invalid	9	47.4 %		Withdrawn	4	21.1 %
Inconclusive	1	5.3 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
Not Investigated	6	31.6 %				
Total Number of Cas	ses Close	ed At Stage 1	18	94.7 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 2-3			1	5.3 %		
********	******	**********	******	**********	******	************

STAGE 2 - UNIT DIRECTOR 1 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 2			Action at Stage 2		
0	0.0 %		Resolved	1	100.0 %
1	100.0 %		Withdrawn	0	0.0 %
0	0.0 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
ses Close	ed At Stage 2	1	100.0 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Next Stage			0.0 %		
	1 0 ses Close	1 100.0 % 0 0.0 % ses Closed At Stage 2	1 100.0 % 0 0.0 % ses Closed At Stage 2 1	0 0.0 % Resolved 1 100.0 % Withdrawn 0 0.0 % Outside Referral ses Closed At Stage 2 1 100.0 %	0 0.0 % Resolved 1 1 100.0 % Withdrawn 0 0 0.0 % Outside Referral 0 ses Closed At Stage 2 1 100.0 %

STAGE 3A - RESIDENT RIGHTS COMMITTEE O GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3	A			Action at Stage 3A			
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%	
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%	
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%	
Total Number of Ca	ases Closed	At Stage 3A	0	%			
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 3B			0	%			

STAGE 3B - SUPERINTENDENT/CEO O GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3	В			Action at Stage 3B				
Valid	0	0 %		Resolved	0	%		
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%		
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%		
Total Number of C	ases Closed /	At Stage 3B	0	%				
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 4			0	%				

STAGE 4 - CENTRAL REVIEW COMMITTEE 0 GRIEVANCES

			Action at Stage 4		
0	%		Resolved	0	%
0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
ases Closed	At Stage 4	0	%		
	0 0 0	0 % 0 %	0 % 0 % 0 %	0 % Resolved 0 % Withdrawn 0 % Outside Referral	0 % Resolved 0 0 % Withdrawn 0 0 % Outside Referral 0

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 0	e MONEY 0
0 A. Physical	8. MONEY 0
0 B. Sexual	A. Dissipation of Assets
0 C. Mental	0 B. Easy Access
0 D. Verbal	0 C. Facility Account
	0 D. Limitation
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 1	0 E. Safekeeping
0 B. Hearing	0 F. Use of Funds
0 A. Admission	0 G. Exploitation
0 C. Transfer	 H. Entitlements/Benefits
1 D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 0
0 E. Respite Care	J. NEGLEGI U
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 7	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 1
0 A. Abortion	0_ A. Exclusion
0 B. Addressing A Resident	0 B. Limitations
0 C. Barrier Free Design	1 C. Protection
D. Business and Personal	D. Purchase or Receive
	0 E. Receipt
	0 F. Storage
	G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0 G. Discrimination	44 BIGUES BROTTOTION 6
0 H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 0
0 I. Labor and Compensation	0 A. Complaint Forms
	B. Explanation of Rights
K. Media	C. Notification of Rights
0 L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
0 M. Privacy	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 N. Religion	F. Complaint Procedure
0 O. Sexuality	0 G. Retaliation
0 P. Harassment	0_ H. Legal Case Review
0 Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 3
0 R. Immigration	A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 0	0 B. Informed Consent
A. Attorney/Legal Matters	1 C. Medical Care
0 B. Clergy	1 D. Medication
0 C. Visitors	0 E. Periodic Review
0 D. Stationery and Postage	0 F. Research/At Risk Procedures
0 E. Telephone	0 G. Knowledge of
0 F. Mail	0 H. Name of Treatment Staff
0 G. Interpreter Service	0 I. Alternate Treatment Services
O. Interpreter Service	0 J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 0	
0 A. Records	K. Minor Placed with Adults
B. Privileged Communications	L. Aftercare Plan M. Advance Medical Directive
C. Photocopying	M. Advance Medical Directive
D. Photographing	N. Pain Management
A FAMILIDANIA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	13. OTHER 0
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 3	A. Forensic Issues
0 A. Clothing	B. Guardianship
0 B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
0 C. Personal Hygiene	
3 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED0
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 0
0 F. Humane	
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 4	16. DEATH0
2 A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES 19
B. General Restrictions	
C. Least Restrictive Alternative	FISCAL YEAR 2008
1 D. Leave of Absence	Thomas B. Finan Center
0 E. Restraint	onas b. i man oentei
0 F. Seclusion	
1 G. Quiet Room	
. J. gard Hours	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008

CDIEVANCES

Thomas B. Finan Center

			GR	ILVANO	LO 19			
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	9	47.4	<18	1	5.3	African American	1	5.3
Male	7	36.8	18-44	10	52.6	Asian	0	0.0
Class	3	15.8	45-64	5	26.3	Caucasian	15	78.9
Total	19		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Class	3	15.8	Other	0	0.0
			Total	19		Class	3	15.8
						Total	19	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

ABUSE 0 A. Physical	8. MONEY 1
0 B. Sexual	A. Dissipation of Assets
0 C. Mental	0 B. Easy Access
0 D. Verbal	C. Facility Account
0 D. Verbai	1 D. Limitation
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 8	0 E. Safekeeping
A. Admission	0 F. Use of Funds
0 B. Hearing	G. Exploitation
5 C. Transfer	H. Entitlements/Benefits
3 D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 0
0 E. Respite Care	
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 17	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 3
0 A. Abortion	0 A. Exclusion
B. Addressing A Resident	0 B. Limitations
C. Barrier Free Design	0 C. Protection
11 D. Business and Personal	D. Purchase or Receive
0 E. Competency	0 E. Receipt
	3 F. Storage
0 F. Dignity 0 G. Discrimination	G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
G. Discrimination H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 4
0 I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
J. Marriage and Divorce	B. Explanation of Rights
0 K. Media	C. Notification of Rights
0 L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
	0 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
	F. Complaint Procedure
	G. Retaliation
0 O. Sexuality 0 P. Harassment	3 H. Legal Case Review
5 Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 4
0 R. Immigration	A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 0	B. Informed Consent
A. Attorney/Legal Matters	1 C. Medical Care
0 B. Clergy	2 D. Medication
0 C. Visitors	E. Periodic Review
D. Stationery and Postage	 F. Research/At Risk Procedures
0 E. Telephone	 G. Knowledge of
0 F. Mail	 H. Name of Treatment Staff
G. Interpreter Service	 I. Alternate Treatment Services
	 J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 1	 K. Minor Placed with Adults
1 A. Records	 L. Aftercare Plan
B. Privileged Communications	 M. Advance Medical Directive
0 C. Photocopying	 N. Pain Management
D. Photographing	13. OTHER 3
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 4	3 A. Forensic Issues
0 A. Clothing	B. Guardianship
3 B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
C. Personal Hygiene	
0 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 3
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 2
0 F. Humane	
	16. DEATH 0
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 8	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 58
7 A. Building and Grounds	FIGURE WEAR COOR
B. General Restrictions C. Least Postrictive Alternative	FISCAL YEAR 2008
C. Least Restrictive Alternative	THOMAS B. FINAN CENTER
1 D. Leave of Absence	
0 E. Restraint	
0 F. Seclusion	

-52-

0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES)-FY 2008

Thomas B. Finan Center

INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE REQUESTS

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE		#	%
Female	22	37.9	<18	0	0.0	African Am	erican	10	17.2
Male	34	58.6	18-44	30	51.7	Asian		1	1.7
Class	2	3.4	45-64	21	36.2	Caucasian		44	75.9
Total	58		65+	5	8.6	Hispanic		0	0.0
10101	00		Class	2	3.4	Other		1	1.7
			Total	58		Class		2	3.4
							Total	58	

DECISION AND ACTION (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS) - FY 2008

Thomas B. Finan Center

CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS

Patients Scheduled for One or More Panels	11		Legal Status		
Scheduled as an Initial Panel		47.1 %	Not Criminally Responsible	2	11.8 %
Scheduled Less than 90 Days Since Last Panel	0	52.9 %	Incompetent to Stand Trial	0	0.0 %
Scheduled More than 90 Days Since Last Panel	9	0.0 %	Civilly Committed	0	0.0 %
Total Number of Panels Scheduled	17				
Decision by Panel		Patie	nt Response to Panel Decision		

Decision by Panel			Patient Response to Panel Decision			
Medication Approved	14	82.4 %	Decision Not Appealed	7	50.0	%
Medication Not Approved	2	11.8 %	Decision Appealed to ALJ	7	50.0	%
No Decision Reached	1	5.9 %				
Cancelled Prior to Panel	0	0.0 %				

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Number of Appeals Requested 7

Decision by Administrative Law Ju-	dge		Patient Response to Panel De	cision	1
CRP Decision Upheld	4	57.1 %	Decision Not Appealed	1	25.0 %
CRP Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	Decision Appealed to CC	3	75.0 %
Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	3	42.9 %			
	Rep	resentation by	Legal Assistance Provider 5		

CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS

Number of Appeals Filed 3

Decision by Circuit Court	Gette econtrol		
CRP Decision Upheld	3	100.0 %	
ALJ Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	
Declared Moot/Withdrawn	0	0.0 %	
	Rep	presentation by Legal Assistance Provider	3
	********		********

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS)

Thomas B. Finan Center

PANELS SCHEDULED 17

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	7	41.0	<18	0	0.0	African-American	2	12.0
Male	10	59.0	18-44	13	76.0	Asian	2	12.0
Total	17	00.0	45-64	2	12.0	Caucasian	13	76.0
			65+	2	12.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Total	17		Other	0	0.0
						Total	17	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

CLIFTON T. PERKINS HOSPITAL CENTER

FISCAL YEAR 2008

Susan Thomas Rights Advisor

Edward Fowler Rights Advisor **Gregory Wyatt Rights Advisor**

CLIFTON T. PERKINS HOSPITAL CENTER FISCAL YEAR 08

GRIEVANCES	161
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	188
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	40
TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	389

RIGHTS CATEGORY		INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	50	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	1	7
CIVIL RIGHTS	38	9
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	14	7
CONFIDENTIALITY	7	5
ENVIRONMENTAL	9	10
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	9	4
MONEY	2	19
NEGLECT	3	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	4	4
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	2	12
TREATMENT RIGHTS	18	15
OTHER	0	3
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	3	7
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	0	85
DEATH	0	1
TOTAL	161	188

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 2008 Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center

STAGE 1 - RIGHTS ADVISOR 161 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 1				Action at Stage 1		
Valid	22	13.7 %		Resolved	45	28.0 %
Invalid	54	33.5 %		Withdrawn	47	29.2 %
Inconclusive	79	49.1 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
Not Investigated	6	3.7 %				
Total Number of Ca	ses Close	ed At Stage 1	92	57.1 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 2-3			69	42.9 %	******	***********

STAGE 2 - UNIT DIRECTOR 69 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 2				Action at Stage 2		
Valid	5	7.2 %		Resolved	10	14.5 %
Invalid	38	55.1 %		Withdrawn	11	15.9 %
Inconclusive	26	37.7 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
Total Number of Ca	ses Close	ed At Stage 2	21	30.4 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Next Stage			48	69.6 %		
*********	**********	*****************	******	******************	******	********

STAGE 3A - RESIDENT RIGHTS COMMITTEE 11 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3A				Action at Stage 3A		
Valid	0	0.0 %		Resolved	0	0.0 %
Invalid	6	54.5 %		Withdrawn	2	18.2 %
Inconclusive	5	45.5 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
Total Number of C	ases Close	d At Stage 3A	2	18.2 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 3B			9	81.8 %		

STAGE 3B - SUPERINTENDENT/CEO 45 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3B			Action at Stage 3B		
2	4.4 %		Resolved	10	22.2 %
22	48.9 %		Withdrawn	11	24.4 %
21	46.7 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
es Close	d At Stage 3B	21	46.7 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 4		24	53.3 %		
	21 es Close	22 48.9 % 21 46.7 % ses Closed At Stage 3B	22 48.9 % 21 46.7 % ses Closed At Stage 3B 21	2 4.4 % Resolved 22 48.9 % Withdrawn 21 46.7 % Outside Referral ses Closed At Stage 3B 21 46.7 %	2 4.4 % Resolved 10 22 48.9 % Withdrawn 11 21 46.7 % Outside Referral 0 ses Closed At Stage 3B 21 46.7 %

STAGE 4 - CENTRAL REVIEW COMMITTEE 25 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 4				Action at Stage 4			
Valid	1	4.0 %		Resolved	13	52.0 %	
Invalid	9	36.0 %		Withdrawn	12	48.0 %	
Inconclusive	15	60.0 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %	
Total Number of 0	Cases Close	ed At Stage 4	25	100.0 %			
*************	*******	******	******	*********************	*******	*******	*****

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 50 32	8. MONEY 2 O A. Dissipation of Assets O B. Easy Access C. Facility Account O D. Limitation O E. Safekeeping O F. Use of Funds O G. Exploitation H. Entitlements/Benefits
0 E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECI
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 38 O A. Abortion 13 B. Addressing A Resident C. Barrier Free Design D. Business and Personal E. Competency F. Dignity G. Discrimination H. Education I. Labor and Compensation J. Marriage and Divorce K. Media L. Personal Search M. Privacy N. Religion	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 4 1 A. Exclusion 0 B. Limitations 1 C. Protection 2 D. Purchase or Receive 0 E. Receipt 0 F. Storage 0 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction 11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 2 0 A. Complaint Forms 0 B. Explanation of Rights 0 C. Notification of Rights 0 D. Rights Advisor 1 E. Timely Impartial Investigation 1 F. Complaint Procedure
0 O. Sexuality	0 G. Retaliation
7 P. Harassment	H. Legal Case Review
O Q. Voting O R. Immigration 4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 14 O A. Attorney/Legal Matters O B. Clergy O C. Visitors O D. Stationery and Postage 4 E. Telephone 10 F. Mail O G. Interpreter Service 5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 7 2 A. Records 4 B. Privileged Communications 1 C. Photocopying O D. Photographing 6. ENVIRONMENTAL 9 O A. Clothing	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 6 A. Individual Treatment Plan 0 B. Informed Consent 4 C. Medical Care 4 D. Medication 2 E. Periodic Review 0 F. Research/At Risk Procedures 0 G. Knowledge of 0 H. Name of Treatment Staff 2 I. Alternate Treatment Services 0 J. Clinical Review Panel 0 K. Minor Placed with Adults 0 L. Aftercare Plan 0 M. Advance Medical Directive 0 N. Pain Management 13. OTHER 0 0 A. Forensic Issues
	0 B. Guardianship
3 B. Diet C. Personal Hygiene	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
1 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 3
4 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 0
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 9 1 A. Building and Grounds 2 B. General Restrictions 3 C. Least Restrictive Alternative 0 D. Leave of Absence 3 E. Restraint 0 F. Seclusion 0 G. Quiet Room	16. DEATH0 TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES161 FISCAL YEAR 20 08 Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center

GRIEVANCES

			0.					
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	21	13.0	<18	1	0.6	African American	104	64.6
Male	139	86.3	18-44	123	76.4	Asian	0	0.0
Class	1	0.6	45-64	36	22.4	Caucasian	52	32.3
Total	161		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	1	0.6
			Class	1	0.6	Other	3	1.9
			Total	161		Class	1	0.6
						Total	161	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

1. ABUSE 0	8. MONEY 19
0 A. Physical	 A. Dissipation of Assets
0 B. Sexual	 B. Easy Access
0 C. Mental	 C. Facility Account
0 D. Verbal	 D. Limitation
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 7	 E. Safekeeping
0 A. Admission	0 F. Use of Funds
1 B. Hearing	G. Exploitation
0 C. Transfer	16 H. Entitlements/Benefits
6 D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 0
0 E. Respite Care	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 4
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 9	A. Exclusion
0 A. Abortion	0 B. Limitations
B. Addressing A Resident	0 C. Protection
C. Barrier Free Design	2 D. Purchase or Receive
3 D. Business and Personal	2 E. Receipt
0 E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0 F. Dignity	0 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0 G. Discrimination	G. HieldLoss/Destruction
1 H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 12
I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
2 J. Marriage and Divorce	B. Explanation of Rights
1 K. Media	C. Notification of Rights
0 L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
	E. Timely Impartial Investigation
	F. Complaint Procedure
0 N. Religion	G. Retaliation
0 O. Sexuality	12 H. Legal Case Review
1 P. Harassment	12 11. Edgar dada Marian
0 Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 15
0 R. Immigration	8 A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 7	 B. Informed Consent
5 A. Attorney/Legal Matters	 C. Medical Care
0 B. Clergy	2 D. Medication
0 C. Visitors	2 E. Periodic Review
0 D. Stationery and Postage	 F. Research/At Risk Procedures
	1 G. Knowledge of
	H. Name of Treatment Staff
1 F. Mail	I. Alternate Treatment Services
G. Interpreter Service	J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 5	0 K. Minor Placed with Adults
3 A. Records	L. Aftercare Plan
B. Privileged Communications	
1 C. Photocopying	
0 D. Photographing	N. Pain Management
	13. OTHER 3
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 10	1 A. Forensic Issues
2 A. Clothing	B. Guardianship
4 B. Diet	 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
2 C. Personal Hygiene	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 7
1 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 7
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 85
1 F. Humane	46 DEATH 4
7 EREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 4	16. DEATH 1
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 4	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 188
A. Building and Grounds B. Canaral Boatrictions	EICCAL VEAD 2000
B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
C. Least Restrictive Alternative	CLIFTON T. PERKINS HOSPITAL CENTER
0 D. Leave of Absence	
0 E. Restraint	
0 F. Seclusion	

0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES) FY 2008

Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center

INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE REQUESTS 18

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	14	7	<18	0	0	African American	126	67
Male	173	92	18-44	118	63	Asian	1	0.5
Class	1	0.5	45-64	68	36	Caucasian	55	29
Total	188		65+	1	1	Hispanic	2	1.1
1000	100		Class	1	0.5	Other	3	2
			Total	188		Class	1	0.5
						Total	188	

DECISION AND ACTION (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS) - FY 2008

Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center

CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS

Patients Scheduled for One or More Panels	21		Legal Status		
Scheduled as an Initial Panel	14	35.0 %	Not Criminally Responsible	14	35.0 %
Scheduled Less than 90 Days Since Last Panel	4	45.0 %	Incompetent to Stand Trial	20	50.0 %
Scheduled More than 90 Days Since Last Panel	18	10.0 %	Civilly Committed	0	0.0 %
Total Number of Panels Scheduled	40				

Decision by Panel			Patient Response to Panel Decision			
Medication Approved	28	70.0 %	Decision Not Appealed	7	25.0	%
Medication Not Approved	6	15.0 %	Decision Appealed to ALJ	21	75.0	%
No Decision Reached	0	0.0 %				
Cancelled Prior to Panel	6	15.0 %				

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Number of Appeals Requested 21

Decision by Administrative Law Ju	dge		Patient Response to Panel D	ecision	1
CRP Decision Upheld	12	57.1 %	Decision Not Appealed	6	50.0 %
CRP Decision Overturned	3	14.3 %	Decision Appealed to CC	6	50.0 %
Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	6	28.6 %			
	Rep	resentation by	Legal Assistance Provider 16		

CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS

Number of Appeals Filed 6

Decision by Circuit Court	25.00		
CRP Decision Upheld	3	50.0 %	
ALJ Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	
Declared Moot/Withdrawn	3	50.0 %	
	Rep	resentation by Legal Assistance Provi	ider 0
***************	******	**********************************	******************************

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS)

Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center

PANELS SCHEDULED 40

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	1	2.0	<18	0	0.0	African-American	35	88.0
Male	39	98.0	18-44	19	48.0	Asian	0	0.0
Total	40		45-64	21	52.0	Caucasian	4	10.0
			65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	1	2.0
			Total	40		Other	0	0.0
						Total	40	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

REGIONAL INSTITUTE FOR CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS BALTIMORE

FISCAL YEAR 2008

Harry Evans III Rights Advisor

RICA-BALTIMORE FISCAL YEAR 08

GRIEVANCES	130
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	45
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	0
TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	175

· 在最后的,我们就是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	***************************************	INFORMATION/
RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	2	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFE	R 3	3
CIVIL RIGHTS	16	1
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	4	0
CONFIDENTIALITY	1	0
ENVIRONMENTAL	17	1
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	54	0
MONEY	4	3
NEGLECT	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	8	0
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	1	37
TREATMENT RIGHTS	7	0
OTHER	1	0
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	9	0
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	3	0
DEATH	0	0
TOTAL	130	45

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 20 08 RICA-Baltimore

		RICA	A-Baiti	more		
			1 - RIGH	HTS EVANCES		
Decision at Stage 1				Action at Stage 1		
Valid Invalid Inconclusive Not Investigated	33 28 65 4	% % %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	111 5 5	% %
Total Number of Cas Total Number of Cas			121 9	% % *******	*****	****
		STAGE		DIRECTOR		
Decision at Stage 2				Action at Stage 2		
Valid Invalid Inconclusive	2 1 5	% % %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	6 0 0	9 9 9
Total Number of Cas Total Number of Cas			6 2	% %		
		STAGE 3A - R		RIGHTS		
Decision at Stage 3A				Action at Stage 3A		
Valid Invalid Inconclusive	0 0 0	% % %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	0 0	% % %
Total Number of Case Total Number of Case			0	%	*****	****
		STAGE 3B		INTENDENT/CEO EVANCES		
Decision at Stage 3B				Action at Stage 3B		
Valid Invalid Inconclusive	1 0 2	% % %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	3 0 0	% % %
Total Number of Case Total Number of Case			3	% %	****	****
		STAGE 4 - CE		REVIEW EVANCES		
Decision at Stage 4				Action at Stage 4 J	urisdiction	
Valid Invalid Inconclusive	0 0 0	% %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	0	% % %
Total Number of Case			0	%		70

Alternative

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 2	8. MONEY 4
2 A. Physical	A. Dissipation of Assets
0 B. Sexual	1 B. Easy Access
0 C. Mental	
0 D. Verbal	C. Facility Account
	0 D. Limitation
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER3	0 E. Safekeeping
0 B. Hearing	0 F. Use of Funds
0 A. Admission	0 G. Exploitation
0 C. Transfer	 H. Entitlements/Benefits
2 D. Discharge	a NEOLEGE A
1 E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 8
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 16	0 A. Exclusion
0 A. Abortion	1 B. Limitations
7 B. Addressing A Resident	
C. Barrier Free Design	0 C. Protection
D. Business and Personal	D. Purchase or Receive
	0 E. Receipt
0 F. Dignity	0 F. Storage
0 G. Discrimination	 7 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
1 E. Competency 0 F. Dignity 0 G. Discrimination 5 H. Education 1 I. Labor and Compensation 0 J. Marriage and Divorce 0 K. Media 0 L. Personal Search 0 M. Privacy	11 DICUTS PROTECTION 1
4 I I should constant to	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION1
1 I. Labor and Compensation	0 A. Complaint Forms
0 J. Marriage and Divorce	B. Explanation of Rights
0 K. Media	 C. Notification of Rights
0 L. Personal Search	1 D. Rights Advisor
0_ M. Privacy	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 N. Religion	F. Complaint Procedure
0 O. Sexuality	G. Retaliation
2 P. Harassment	H. Legal Case Review
0 Q. Voting	
0 R. Immigration	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS7
	A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 4	B. Informed Consent
1 A. Attorney/Legal Matters	1 C. Medical Care
1 B. Clergy	5 D. Medication
0 C. Visitors	E. Periodic Review
D. Stationery and Postage	F. Research/At Risk Procedures
2 E. Telephone	G. Knowledge of
0 F. Mail	H. Name of Treatment Staff
0 G. Interpreter Service	I. Alternate Treatment Services
O. Interpreter Service	
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 1	
0 A. Records	0 K. Minor Placed with Adults
B. Privileged Communications	L. Aftercare Plan
0 C. Photocopying	M. Advance Medical Directive
D. Photographing	0 N. Pain Management
	13. OTHER 1
6. ENVIRONMENTAL17	
0 A. Clothing	1 A. Forensic Issues
6 B. Diet	0 B. Guardianship
C. Personal Hygiene	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
1 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 9
5 E. Sanitary	
4 F. Humane	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 3
C	16. DEATH0
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 54	
0 A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES130
1 B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
48 C. Least Restrictive Alternative	
0 D. Leave of Absence	RICA-Baltimore
3 E. Restraint	
2 F. Seclusion	
0 G. Quiet Room	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 RICA-Baltimore

	GRIEVANCE 130							
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	65	50.4	<18	129	100.0	African American	77	59.7
Male	65	50.4	18-44	1	0.8	Asian	0	0.0
Class	0	0.0	45-64	0	0.0	Caucasian	45	34.9
Total	130		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Class	8	6.2	Other	0	0.0
			Total	130		Class	8	6.2
						Total	130	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

. ABUSE 0	8. MONEY 3		
0 A. Physical	A. Dissipation of Assets		
0 B. Sexual	B. Easy Access		
0 C. Mental	C. Facility Account		
0 D. Verbal	D. Limitation		
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 3	 E. Safekeeping 		
0 A. Admission	 F. Use of Funds 		
	 G. Exploitation 		
	3 H. Entitlements/Benefits		
0 C. Transfer	0 NEOL 507 0		
3 D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 0		
0 E. Respite Care	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 0		
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 1	A. Exclusion		
0 A. Abortion	0 B. Limitations		
B. Addressing A Resident	C. Protection		
0 C. Barrier Free Design	D. Purchase or Receive		
D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt		
0 E. Competency	0 F. Storage		
0 F. Dignity	0 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction		
0 G. Discrimination	O. Historosarbeaudotton		
1 H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 37		
0 I. Labor and Compensation	 A. Complaint Forms 		
0 J. Marriage and Divorce	31 B. Explanation of Rights		
	 C. Notification of Rights 		
	0 D. Rights Advisor		
	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation 		
0 M. Privacy	F. Complaint Procedure		
0 N. Religion	G. Retaliation		
0 O. Sexuality	6 H. Legal Case Review		
0 P. Harassment			
0 Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 0		
0 R. Immigration	A. Individual Treatment Plan		
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 0	B. Informed Consent		
A. Attorney/Legal Matters	 C. Medical Care 		
0 B. Clergy	 D. Medication 		
0 C. Visitors	 E. Periodic Review 		
D. Stationery and Postage	 F. Research/At Risk Procedures 		
	 G. Knowledge of 		
	 H. Name of Treatment Staff 		
0 F. Mail	0 I. Alternate Treatment Services		
0 G. Interpreter Service	J. Clinical Review Panel		
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 0	0 K. Minor Placed with Adults		
0 A. Records	L. Aftercare Plan		
B. Privileged Communications	M. Advance Medical Directive		
0 C. Photocopying	N. Pain Management		
D. Photographing			
	13. OTHER 0		
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 1	A. Forensic Issues		
0 A. Clothing	B. Guardianship		
0 B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction		
1 C. Personal Hygiene	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 0		
0 D. Safety			
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT		
0 F. Humane	16 DEATH 0		
	16. DEATH 0		
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 0	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 45		
A. Building and Grounds	FIGURE VEAD COOK		
B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008		
C. Least Restrictive Alternative	RICA-BALTIMORE		
D. Leave of Absence			
0 E. Restraint			
0 F. Seclusion			
G. Quiet Room	(0		

0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES) FY 2008

RICA-Baltimore

INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE 45

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	11	25	<18	45	102	African American	27	61
Male	33	75	18-44	0	0	Asian	0	0.0
Class	1	2.3	45-64	0	0	Caucasian	16	36
Total	45		65+	0	0	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Class	0	0	Other	0	0
			Total	45		Class	2	4.5
						Total	45	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

JOHN L. GILDNER REGIONAL INSTITUTE FOR CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS ROCKVILLE

FISCAL YEAR 2008

Harry Evans III Rights Advisor

RICA-ROCKVILLE FISCAL YEAR 08

GRIEVANCES	41
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	93
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	0
TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	134

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	1	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	4	2
CIVIL RIGHTS	9	0
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	2	0
CONFIDENTIALITY	0	0
ENVIRONMENTAL	2	0
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	11	0
MONEY	0	3
NEGLECT	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	6	0
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	0	86
TREATMENT RIGHTS	3	1
OTHER	0	0
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	1	1
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	2	0
DEATH	0	0
TOTAL	41	93

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 2008 RICA-Rockville

STAGE 1 - RIGHTS ADVISOR 41 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 1				Action at Stage 1		
Valid	7	17.1 %		Resolved	34	82.9 %
Invalid	8	19.5 %		Withdrawn	1	2.4 %
Inconclusive	25	61.0 %		Outside Referral	3	7.3 %
Not Investigated	1	2.4 %				
Total Number of Ca	ses Close	ed At Stage 1	38	92.7 %		
Total Number of Ca	ses Refe	rred To Stage 2-3	3	7.3 %		
****************	******	*******	*****	*****	******	********

STAGE 2 - UNIT DIRECTOR 3 GRIEVANCES

			Action at Stage 2		
2	66.7 %		Resolved	3	100.0 %
0	0.0 %		Withdrawn	0	0.0 %
1	33.3 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
ses Close	d At Stage 2	3	100.0 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Next Stage			0.0 %		
	0 1 ses Close	0 0.0 % 1 33.3 % ses Closed At Stage 2	0 0.0 % 1 33.3 % ses Closed At Stage 2 3	2 66.7 % Resolved 0 0.0 % Withdrawn 1 33.3 % Outside Referral ses Closed At Stage 2 3 100.0 %	2 66.7 % Resolved 3 0 0.0 % Withdrawn 0 1 33.3 % Outside Referral 0 ses Closed At Stage 2 3 100.0 %

STAGE 3A - RESIDENT RIGHTS COMMITTEE O GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3A				Action at Stage 3A	Action at Stage 3A		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%	
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%	
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%	
Total Number of C	ases Closed	At Stage 3A	0	%			
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 3B			0	%			
*********	*********	********	*******	*******************	*****	*********	

STAGE 3B - SUPERINTENDENT/CEO O GRIEVANCES

3			Action at Stage 3B			
0	%		Resolved	0	%	
0	%		Withdrawn	0	%	
0	%		Outside Referral	0	%	
ses Closed	At Stage 3B	0	%			
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 4			%			
	0 0 0 ases Closed	0 % 0 % 0 % ases Closed At Stage 3B	0 % 0 % 0 % ases Closed At Stage 3B 0	0 % Resolved 0 % Withdrawn 0 % Outside Referral ases Closed At Stage 3B 0 %	0 % Resolved 0 0 % Withdrawn 0 0 % Outside Referral 0 ases Closed At Stage 3B 0 %	0 % Resolved 0 % 0 % Withdrawn 0 % 0 % Outside Referral 0 % ases Closed At Stage 3B 0 %

STAGE 4 - CENTRAL REVIEW COMMITTEE 0 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 4				Action at Stage 4		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
Total Number of C	ases Closed	At Stage 4	0	%	*****	************

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 1	8. MONEY 0
1 A. Physical	A. Dissipation of Assets
0 B. Sexual	0 B. Easy Access
0 C. Mental	C. Facility Account
	0 D. Limitation
2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 4	0 E. Safekeeping
0 B. Hearing	0 F. Use of Funds
0 A. Admission	0 G. Exploitation
0 C. Transfer	H. Entitlements/Benefits
4 D. Discharge	The Emilianion of Policino
0 E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 6
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 9	0 A. Exclusion
O A. Abortion	3 B. Limitations
4 B. Verbal Abuse	0 C. Protection
0 C. Barrier Free Design	D. Purchase or Receive
D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
0 E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0 F. Dignity	3 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0 G. Discrimination	
4 H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION0
I. Labor and Compensation	0 A. Complaint Forms
J. Marriage and Divorce	B. Explanation of Rights
K. Media	C. Notification of Rights
0 L. Personal Search	0_ D. Rights Advisor
0 M. Privacy	E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 N. Religion	F. Complaint Procedure
0 O. Sexuality	0 G. Retaliation
P. Harassment	0_ H. Legal Case Review
0 Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 3
R. Immigration	A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 2	B. Informed Consent
1 A. Attorney/Legal Matters	2 C. Medical Care
0 B. Clergy	D. Medication
0 C. Visitors	E. Periodic Review
D. Stationery and Postage	F. Research/At Risk Procedures
1 E. Telephone	G. Knowledge of
0 F. Mail	1 H. Name of Treatment Staff
G. Interpreter Service	 I. Alternate Treatment Services
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 0	J. Clinical Review Panel
0 A. Records	 K. Minor Placed with Adults
0 B. Privileged Communications	L. Aftercare Plan
0 C. Photocopying	M. Advance Medical Directive
0 D. Photographing	N. Pain Management
(1) 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	13. OTHER 0
6. ENVIRONMENTAL2	A. Forensic Issues
0 A. Clothing	0 B. Guardianship
0 B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
1_ C. Personal Hygiene	
0 D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED1
1 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 2
0 F. Humane	
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 11	16. DEATH0
A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES 41
0 B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 20 08
11 C. Least Restrictive Alternative	DICA Packvilla
0 D. Leave of Absence	RICA-Rockville
0 E. Restraint F. Seclusion	
0 G. Quiet Room	
o o. dulot room	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 RICA-Rockville

			GR	IEVANC	ES 41			
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	13	31.7	<18	39	95.1	African American	14	34.1
Male	27	65.9	18-44	2	4.9	Asian	0	0.0
Class	1	2.4	45-64	0	0.0	Caucasian	25	61.0
Total	41		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	1	2.4
10101			Class	1	2.4	Other	0	0.0
			Total	41		Class	1	2.4

41

Total

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

	BUSE 0	8. MONEY 3
0	A. Physical	 A. Dissipation of Assets
0	B. Sexual	0 B. Easy Access
0	C. Mental	C. Facility Account
0	D. Verbal	0 D. Limitation
2 40	MISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 2	0 E. Safekeeping
7735,27	A. Admission	0 F. Use of Funds
0		0 G. Exploitation
0	B. Hearing	3 H. Entitlements/Benefits
0	C. Transfer	o II. Endicinenta/Denenta
0	D. Discharge E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
	SALES	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 0
	VIL RIGHTS 0	A. Exclusion
0	A. Abortion	 B. Limitations
0	B. Addressing A Resident	C. Protection
0	C. Barrier Free Design	 D. Purchase or Receive
0	D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
0	E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0	F. Dignity	G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0	G. Discrimination	
0	H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 86
0	I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
0	J. Marriage and Divorce	80 B. Explanation of Rights
0	K. Media	 C. Notification of Rights
0	L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
0	M. Privacy	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0	N. Religion	F. Complaint Procedure
		G. Retaliation
0	O. Sexuality	6 H. Legal Case Review
0	P. Harassment	
0	Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 1
0	R. Immigration	 A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. CC	MMUNICATION and VISITS 0	 B. Informed Consent
0	A. Attorney/Legal Matters	1 C. Medical Care
0	B. Clergy	 D. Medication
0	C. Visitors	 E. Periodic Review
0	D. Stationery and Postage	 F. Research/At Risk Procedures
0		G. Knowledge of
	E. Telephone	H. Name of Treatment Staff
0	F. Mail	I. Alternate Treatment Services
0	G. Interpreter Service	0 J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CC	NFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 0	
0	A. Records	0 L. Aftercare Plan
0	B. Privileged Communications	
0	C. Photocopying	M. Advance Medical Directive N. Poin Management
0	D. Photographing	N. Pain Management
U	D. I notographing	13. OTHER 0
6. EN	VIRONMENTAL 0	 A. Forensic Issues
0	A. Clothing	 B. Guardianship
0	B. Diet	C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
0	C. Personal Hygiene	
0	D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED /
0	E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT
0	F. Humane	16. DEATH 0
7. FR	EEDOM OF MOVEMENT 0	
0	A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 93
0	B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
0	C. Least Restrictive Alternative	, John I and avvo
0	D. Leave of Absence	RICA-ROCKVILLE
1.7		
-		
0	E. Restraint	
-	F. Seclusion	

0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES)-FY 2008

RICA-Rockville

INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE REQUESTS

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	31	33.3	<18	93	100.0	African American	40	43.0
Male	62	66.7	18-44	0	0.0	Asian	0	0.0
Class	0	0.0	45-64	0	0.0	Caucasian	38	40.9
Total	93		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	15	16.1
TOtal	93		Class	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0
			Total	93		Class	0	0.0
						Total	93	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

REGIONAL INSTITUTE FOR CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS SOUTHERN

FISCAL YEAR 2008

Harry Evans III Rights Advisor

RICA-SOUTHERN FISCAL YEAR 08

TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	48
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	0
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	23
GRIEVANCES	25

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	1	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	5	2
CIVIL RIGHTS	2	0
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	1	0
CONFIDENTIALITY	0	0
ENVIRONMENTAL	8	0
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	2	0
MONEY	0	1
NEGLECT	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	2	0
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	0	20
TREATMENT RIGHTS	1	0
OTHER	0	0
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	2	0
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	1	0
DEATH	0	0
TOTAL	25	23

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 2008 RICA-Southern

STAGE 1 -	RIGHTS ADVISOR
25	GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 1	ecision at Stage 1			Action at Stage 1		
Valid	13	52.0 %		Resolved	17	68.0 %
Invalid	5	20.0 %		Withdrawn	0	0.0 %
Inconclusive	7	28.0 %		Outside Referral	4	16.0 %
Not Investigated	0	0.0 %				
Total Number of Ca	ses Close	ed At Stage 1	21	84.0 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 2-3			4	16.0 %		
*******	*****	*******	*****	*****	*****	*****

STAGE 2 - UNIT DIRECTOR 2 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 2			Action at Stage 2			
2	100.0 %		Resolved	2	100.0 %	
0	0.0 %		Withdrawn	0	0.0 %	
0	0.0 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %	
ses Close	ed At Stage 2	2	100.0 %			
ses Refer	red To Next Stage	0	0.0 %			
	0 0 ses Close	0 0.0 %	0 0.0 % 0 0.0 % ses Closed At Stage 2 2	2 100.0 % Resolved 0 0.0 % Withdrawn 0 0.0 % Outside Referral ses Closed At Stage 2 2 100.0 %	2 100.0 % Resolved 2 0 0.0 % Withdrawn 0 0 0.0 % Outside Referral 0 ses Closed At Stage 2 2 100.0 %	2 100.0 % Resolved 2 100.0 % Withdrawn 0 0.0 % Outside Referral 0 0.0 % Ses Closed At Stage 2 2 100.0 %

STAGE 3A - RESIDENT RIGHTS COMMITTEE O GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3	A			Action at Stage 3A			
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%	
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%	
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%	
Total Number of C	ases Closed	At Stage 3A	0	%			
Total Number of C	Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 3B			%			

STAGE 3B - SUPERINTENDENT/CEO 4 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3	В			Action at Stage 3B		
Valid	4	100.0 %		Resolved	4	100.0 %
Invalid	0	0.0 %		Withdrawn	0	0.0 %
Inconclusive	0	0.0 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
Total Number of C	ases Close	ed At Stage 3B	4	100.0 %		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 4			0	0.0 %		

STAGE 4 - CENTRAL REVIEW COMMITTEE 0 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 4			Action at Stage 4			
0	%		Resolved	0	%	
0	%		Withdrawn	0	%	
0	%		Outside Referral	0	%	
s Closed	At Stage 4	0	%			
	0 0 0 es Closed	0 %	0 % 0 %	0 % Withdrawn 0 % Outside Referral	0 % Withdrawn 0 Outside Referral 0	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 1 1 A. Physical 0 B. Sexual 0 C. Mental 0 D. Verbal 2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 5 0 B. Hearing 0 A. Admission 1 C. Transfer	8. MONEY 0 O A. Dissipation of Assets O B. Easy Access O C. Facility Account O D. Limitation O E. Safekeeping O F. Use of Funds O G. Exploitation O H. Entitlements/Benefits
D. Discharge E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 2 O A. Abortion 1 B. Addressing A Resident O C. Barrier Free Design O D. Business and Personal E. Competency F. Dignity O G. Discrimination 1 H. Education O I. Labor and Compensation J. Marriage and Divorce K. Media L. Personal Search M. Privacy N. Religion O Sexuality P. Harassment	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 2 0 A. Exclusion 1 B. Limitations 0 C. Protection 0 D. Purchase or Receive 0 E. Receipt 0 F. Storage 1 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction 11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 0 0 A. Complaint Forms 0 B. Explanation of Rights 0 C. Notification of Rights 0 D. Rights Advisor 0 E. Timely Impartial Investigation 0 F. Complaint Procedure 0 G. Retaliation 0 H. Legal Case Review
O Q. Voting O R. Immigration 4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 1 O A. Attorney/Legal Matters O B. Clergy O C. Visitors O D. Stationery and Postage O E. Telephone 1 F. Mail O G. Interpreter Service 5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 0 O A. Records O B. Privileged Communications O C. Photocopying O D. Photographing 6. ENVIRONMENTAL 8 1 A. Clothing 2 B. Diet 1 C. Personal Hygiene 3 D. Safety	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 1 0 A. Individual Treatment Plan 0 B. Informed Consent 1 C. Medical Care 0 D. Medication 0 E. Periodic Review 0 F. Research/At Risk Procedures 0 G. Knowledge of 0 H. Name of Treatment Staff 0 I. Alternate Treatment Services 0 J. Clinical Review Panel 0 K. Minor Placed with Adults 0 L. Aftercare Plan 0 M. Advance Medical Directive 0 N. Pain Management 13. OTHER 0 0 A. Forensic Issues 0 B. Guardianship 0 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 1
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 2 O A. Building and Grounds O B. General Restrictions 2 C. Least Restrictive Alternative O D. Leave of Absence O E. Restraint O F. Seclusion	16. DEATH0 TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES25 FISCAL YEAR 20 08 RICA-Southern
G. Quiet Room	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 RICA-Southern

GRIEVANCES	2

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	0	0.0	<18	21	84.0	African American	15	60.0
Male	25	100.0	18-44	4	16.0	Asian	0	0.0
Class	0	0.0	45-64	0	0.0	Caucasian	4	16.0
Total	25		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
10001			Class	6	24.0	Other	0	0.0
			Total	25		Class	6	24.0
						Total	25	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

	SUSE 0	8. MONEY 1
0	A. Physical	 A. Dissipation of Assets
0	B. Sexual	 B. Easy Access
0	C. Mental	 C. Facility Account
		 D. Limitation
2 AF	MISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 2	 E. Safekeeping
0	A. Admission	 F. Use of Funds
		 G. Exploitation
0	B. Hearing	 H. Entitlements/Benefits
0	C. Transfer	
2	D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 0
0	E. Respite Care	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 0
3. CI	VIL RIGHTS 0	A. Exclusion
0	A. Abortion	0 B. Limitations
0	B. Verbal Abuse	C. Protection
0	C. Barrier Free Design	D. Purchase or Receive
0	D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
0	E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0	F. Dignity	G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0	G. Discrimination	
0	H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 20
0	I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
0	J. Marriage and Divorce	17 B. Explanation of Rights
0	K. Media	 C. Notification of Rights
0	L. Personal Search	 D. Rights Advisor
0	M. Privacy	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0	N. Religion	 F. Complaint Procedure
0	O. Sexuality	 G. Retaliation
0	P. Harassment	 H. Legal Case Review
		12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 0
0	Q. Voting R. Immigration	Tal Industrial
U	K. Illinigration	
4. C	OMMUNICATION and VISITS 0	
0	A. Attorney/Legal Matters	0 C. Medical Care 0 D. Medication
0	B. Clergy	
0	C. Visitors	
0	D. Stationery and Postage	F. Research/At Risk Procedures
0	E. Telephone	0 G. Knowledge of
0	F. Mail	H. Name of Treatment Staff
0	G. Interpreter Service	I. Alternate Treatment Services
	ONE DENTIALITY and DIGGLOCUES 0	J. Clinical Review Panel
1000	ONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 0	K. Minor Placed with Adults
0	A. Records	0 L. Aftercare Plan
0	B. Privileged Communications	M. Advance Medical Directive
0	C. Photocopying	 N. Pain Management
0	D. Photographing	13. OTHER 0
6. E	NVIRONMENTAL 0	 A. Forensic Issues
0	A. Clothing	B. Guardianship
0	B. Diet	 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
0	C. Personal Hygiene	44 NO DIGUES INDICAL VED.
0	D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 0
0	E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT
0	F. Humane	
		16. DEATH 0
7. F	REEDOM OF MOVEMENT 0	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 23
0	Building and Grounds	TOTAL NO CHARTEST CONTROL
0	B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
0	C. Least Restrictive Alternative	RICA-SOUTHERN
0	D. Leave of Absence	Iner eee Himit
0	E. Restraint	
	E Seclusion	

0 F. Seclusion 0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES)-FY 2008

RICA-Southern

23

INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE REQUESTS

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	0	0.0	<18	21	91.3	African American	19	82.6
Male	23	100.0	18-44	2	8.7	Asian	0	0.0
Class	0	0.0	45-64	0	0.0	Caucasian	4	17.4
Total	23		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
Total	23		Class	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0
			Total	23		Class	0	0.0
						Total	23	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

SPRINGFIELD HOSPITAL CENTER

FISCAL YEAR 2008

George Lyons Rights Advisor

Charlotte Simmons Rights Advisor

SPRINGFIELD HOSPITAL CENTER FISCAL YEAR 08

GRIEVANCES	230
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	343
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	43
TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	616

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	51	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	4	2
CIVIL RIGHTS	26	3
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	8	0
CONFIDENTIALITY	8	0
ENVIRONMENTAL	26	1
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	23	0
MONEY	0	30
NEGLECT	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	9	0
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	7	38
TREATMENT RIGHTS	57	1
OTHER	2	1
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	4	1
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	5	255
DEATH	0	11
TOTAL	230	343

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 2008 Springfield Hospital Center

STAGE 1 - RIGHTS ADVISOR 230 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 1				Action at Stage 1		
Valid	36	15.7 %		Resolved	161	70.0 %
Invalid	137	59.6 %		Withdrawn	3	1.3 %
Inconclusive	56	24.3 %		Outside Referral	1	0.4 %
Not Investigated	1	0.4 %				
Total Number of Ca	ases Close	ed At Stage 1	165	71.7 %		
Total Number of Ca	ses Refe	rred To Stage 2-3	65	28.3 %		
***************************************	**********	******************	*******	***********	*********	*******************

STAGE 2 - UNIT DIRECTOR 58 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 2	2			Action at Stage 2		
Valid	4	6.9 %		Resolved	8	13.8 %
Invalid	50	86.2 %		Withdrawn	0	0.0 %
Inconclusive	4	6.9 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
Total Number of C	ases Close	d At Stage 2	8	13.8 %		
Total Number of C	ases Refer	red To Next Stage	50	86.2 %		
******	*********	**************	******	*******************	*******	*******

STAGE 3A - RESIDENT RIGHTS COMMITTEE O GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3	A			Action at Stage 3A		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
Total Number of C	ases Closed	At Stage 3A	0	%		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 3B			0	%		
Total Number of Cases Referred To Stage 3B				/0		

STAGE 3B - SUPERINTENDENT/CEO 49 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 3B			Action at Stage 3B			
4	8.2 %		Resolved	49	100.0 %	
41	83.7 %		Withdrawn	0	0.0 %	
4	8.2 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %	
ses Close	d At Stage 3B	49	100.0 %			
ses Refer	red To Stage 4	0	0.0 %			
	4 41 4 ses Close	4 8.2 % 41 83.7 %	4 8.2 % 41 83.7 % 4 8.2 % sees Closed At Stage 3B 49	4 8.2 % Resolved 41 83.7 % Withdrawn 4 8.2 % Outside Referral sees Closed At Stage 3B 49 100.0 %	4 8.2 % Resolved 49 41 83.7 % Withdrawn 0 4 8.2 % Outside Referral 0 sees Closed At Stage 3B 49 100.0 %	4 8.2 % Resolved 49 100.0 % 41 83.7 % Withdrawn 0 0.0 % 4 8.2 % Outside Referral 0 0.0 % sees Closed At Stage 3B 49 100.0 %

STAGE 4 - CENTRAL REVIEW COMMITTEE 0 GRIEVANCES

Decision at Stage 4				Action at Stage 4		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
Total Number of C	ases Closed	At Stage 4	0	%		
******	******	********	******	*******	******	******

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 51 46 A. Physical 2 B. Sexual 2 C. Mental 1 D. Verbal 2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 4 0 B. Hearing 0 A. Admission 1 C. Transfer 3 D. Discharge	8. MONEY 0 O A. Dissipation of Assets O B. Easy Access O C. Facility Account O D. Limitation O E. Safekeeping O F. Use of Funds O G. Exploitation O H. Entitlements/Benefits
0 E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
3. CIVIL RIGHTS	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 9 1 A. Exclusion 0 B. Limitations 0 C. Protection 0 D. Purchase or Receive 0 E. Receipt 0 F. Storage 8 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction 11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 7 0 A. Complaint Forms 1 B. Explanation of Rights 0 C. Notification of Rights 0 D. Rights Advisor
2 M. Privacy	E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0 N. Religion 0 O. Sexuality 3 P. Harassment 0 Q. Voting	1 F. Complaint Procedure 4 G. Retaliation 0 H. Legal Case Review
0 R. Immigration 4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 8 1 A. Attorney/Legal Matters 0 B. Clergy 0 C. Visitors 0 D. Stationery and Postage 3 E. Telephone 4 F. Mail 0 G. Interpreter Service	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 57 5 A. Individual Treatment Plan 0 B. Informed Consent 19 C. Medical Care 22 D. Medication 0 E. Periodic Review 0 F. Research/At Risk Procedures 0 G. Knowledge of 1 H. Name of Treatment Staff 10 I. Alternate Treatment Services 0 J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 8 6 A. Records 2 B. Privileged Communications 0 C. Photocopying 0 D. Photographing	0 K. Minor Placed with Adults 0 L. Aftercare Plan 0 M. Advance Medical Directive 0 N. Pain Management
6. ENVIRONMENTAL26	13. OTHER 2 0 A. Forensic Issues 1 B. Guardianship 1 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction 14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 4
5 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 5
6 F. Humane 7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 23 9 A. Building and Grounds 6 B. General Restrictions 0 C. Least Restrictive Alternative 0 D. Leave of Absence 5 E. Restraint	16. DEATH0 TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES230 FISCAL YEAR 2008 Springfield Hospital Center
2 F. Seclusion 1 G. Quiet Room	

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 Springfield Hospital Center

			Gh	RIEVANC	ES 230			
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	111	48.3	<18	0	0.0	African American	90	39.1
Male	116	50.4	18-44	114	49.6	Asian	0	0.0
Class	3	1.3	45-64	100	43.5	Caucasian	123	53.5
Total	230		65+	13	5.7	Hispanic	3	1.3
10001	200		Class	3	1.3	Other	11	4.8
			Total	230		Class	3	1.3
						Total	230	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

	BUSE 1	8. MONEY 30
1	A. Physical	A. Dissipation of Assets
0	B. Sexual	B. Easy Access
0	C. Mental	C. Facility Account
0	D. Verbal	D. Limitation
2. AD	MISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 2	 E. Safekeeping
0	A. Admission	0 F. Use of Funds
0	B. Hearing	 G. Exploitation
0	C. Transfer	29 H. Entitlements/Benefits
2	D. Discharge	A NEOL ECT
0	E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
0	L. Neapite Odic	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 0
3. CI\	VIL RIGHTS 4	A. Exclusion
0	A. Abortion	B. Limitations
0	B. Addressing A Resident	0 C. Protection
0	C. Barrier Free Design	D. Purchase or Receive
0	D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
0	E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0	F. Dignity	G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0	G. Discrimination	
0	H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 38
0	I. Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
0	J. Marriage and Divorce	 B. Explanation of Rights
0	K. Media	 C. Notification of Rights
0	L. Personal Search	D. Rights Advisor
0	M. Privacy	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0	N. Religion	 F. Complaint Procedure
0	O. Sexuality	 G. Retaliation
1	P. Harassment	38 H. Legal Case Review
0	Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 1
3	R. Immigration	
4. CO	MMUNICATION and VISITS 0	
0	A. Attorney/Legal Matters	0 C. Medical Care 0 D. Medication
0	B. Clergy	0 E. Periodic Review
0	C. Visitors	
0	D. Stationery and Postage	
0	E. Telephone	0 G. Knowledge of 0 H. Name of Treatment Staff
0	F. Mail	
0	G. Interpreter Service	I. Alternate Treatment Services J. Clinical Review Panel
5 00	ONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 0	
0	A. Records	
0	B. Privileged Communications	L. Aftercare Plan M. Advance Medical Directive
0	C. Photocopying	
0	D. Photographing	N. Pain Management
0	2otographing	13. OTHER 1
6. EN	VIRONMENTAL 1	A. Forensic Issues
0	A. Clothing	B. Guardianship
0	B. Diet	 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
0	C. Personal Hygiene	14 NO RIGHT INVOLVED 1
0	D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 1
0	E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 253
1	F. Humane	40 DEATH 44
,	EFROM OF MOVEMENT	16. DEATH 11
	EEDOM OF MOVEMENT 0	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 343
0	A. Building and Grounds	
0	B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
0	C. Least Restrictive Alternative	SPRINGFIELD HOSPITAL CENTER
0	D. Leave of Absence	
0	E. Restraint	
0	F. Seclusion	

0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES) FY 2008

Springfield Hospital Center

			INFORMATIO	N/ASSI	STANCE	343		
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	130	39	<18	1	0	African American	161	47
Male	212	61	18-44	182	52	Asian	4	1.2
Class	1	0.3	45-64	142	43	Caucasian	160	48
Total	343		65+	17	5	Hispanic	5	1.4
			Class	1	0.3	Other	12	3
			Total	343		Class	1	0.3
						Total	343	

DECISION AND ACTION (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS) - FY 2008

Springfield Hospital Center

CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS

Patients Scheduled for One or More Panels	32		Legal Status		
Scheduled as an Initial Panel	26	60.5 %	Not Criminally Responsible	10	23.3 %
Scheduled Less than 90 Days Since Last Panel	2	2.3 %	Incompetent to Stand Trial	20	46.5 %
Scheduled More than 90 Days Since Last Panel	1	27.9 %	Civilly Committed	0	0.0 %
Total Number of Panels Scheduled	43				

Decision by Panel		Patient Response to Panel Decision				
Medication Approved	33	76.7 %	Decision Not Appealed	13	39.4	%
Medication Not Approved	2	4.7 %	Decision Appealed to ALJ	20	60.6	%
No Decision Reached	2	4.7 %				
Cancelled Prior to Panel	6	14.0 %				

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

Number of Appeals Requested 20

Decision by Administrative Law Ju	dge		Patient Response to Panel Decision			
CRP Decision Upheld	14	70.0 %	Decision Not Appealed	5	35.7 %	
CRP Decision Overturned	3	15.0 %	Decision Appealed to CC	9	64.3 %	
Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	3	15.0 %				
	Rep	resentation by	Legal Assistance Provider 8			

CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS

Number of Appeals Filed 9

Decision by Circuit Court			
CRP Decision Upheld	8	88.9 %	
ALJ Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	
Declared Moot/Withdrawn	1	11.1 %	
	Rep	resentation by Legal Assistance Provider	6

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS)

Springfield Hospital Center

PANELS SCHEDULED 43

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	24	56.0	<18	0	0.0	African-American	29	67.0
Male	19	44.0	18-44	17	40.0	Asian	0	0.0
Total	43		45-64	20	47.0	Caucasian	12	28.0
			65+	6	14.0	Hispanic	1	2.0
			Total	43		Other	1	2.0
						Total	43	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

SPRING GROVE HOSPITAL CENTER

FISCAL YEAR 2008

ANNE HARRISON RIGHTS ADVISOR

CHARLOTTE SIMMONS RIGHTS ADVISOR

SPRING GROVE HOSPITAL CENTER FISCAL YEAR 08

GRIEVANCES	278
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	364
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	17
TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	659

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	48	1
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	13	2
CIVIL RIGHTS	22	2
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	10	0
CONFIDENTIALITY	6	0
ENVIRONMENTAL	37	1
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	22	3
MONEY	1	47
NEGLECT	1	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	28	0
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	1	28
TREATMENT RIGHTS	75	5
OTHER	5	13
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	2	4
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	7	252
DEATH	0	6
TOTAL	278	364

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 20 08 Spring Grove Hospital Center

			1 - RIGH 278 GRIE	ITS EVANCES		
Decision at Stage 1			., .	Action at Stage 1		
Valid Invalid Inconclusive Not Investigated	62 135 68 13	% % %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	157 8 1	% % %
Total Number of Cas Total Number of Cas			166 112	% %	********	****
				DIRECTOR		
Decision at Stage 2				Action at Stage 2		
Valid Invalid Inconclusive	20 77 15	% % %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	55 1 0	
Total Number of Cas Total Number of Cas			56 56	% %	************	****
		STAGE 3A - R		RIGHTS		
Decision at Stage 3A				Action at Stage 3A		
Valid nvalid nconclusive	0 7 1	% % %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	0 1 0	
Total Number of Cas Total Number of Cas	es Closed At S es Referred To	tage 3A Stage 3B	1 7	% %		****
		STAGE 3B		INTENDENT/CEO EVANCES		
Decision at Stage 3B				Action at Stage 3B		
/alid nvalid nconclusive	2 46 7	% %		Resolved Withdrawn Outside Referral	52 1 0	
Fotal Number of Cas Fotal Number of Cas			53 2	% %	*******	****
		STAGE 4 - CE		REVIEW EVANCES		
Decision at Stage 4				Action at Stage 4	Jurisdiction	
/alid	0	%		Resolved	2	
nvalid nconclusive	1	%		Withdrawn Outside Referral	0	
Total Number of Cas	es Closed At St	tage 4	2	%		

Assensive

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 48 47 A. Physical 1 B. Sexual 0 C. Mental 0 D. Verbal 2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 13 0 B. Hearing 0 A. Admission 2 C. Transfer 11 D. Discharge	8. MONEY 1 O A. Dissipation of Assets B. Easy Access O C. Facility Account D Limitation 1 E. Safekeeping O F. Use of Funds O G. Exploitation H. Entitlements/Benefits
0 E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 1
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 22 0 A. Abortion 6 B. Addressing A Resident 0 C. Barrier Free Design 1 D. Business and Personal 0 E. Competency 6 F. Dignity 0 G. Discrimination 0 H. Education 0 I. Labor and Compensation 0 J. Marriage and Divorce 0 K. Media	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 28 3
2 L. Personal Search 6 M. Privacy 1 N. Religion 0 O. Sexuality 0 P. Harassment 0 Q. Voting 0 R. Immigration	D. Rights Advisor E. Timely Impartial Investigation F. Complaint Procedure G. Retaliation H. Legal Case Review 12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 75
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 10 0 A. Attorney/Legal Matters 0 B. Clergy 0 C. Visitors 0 D. Stationery and Postage 4 E. Telephone 5 F. Mail 1 G. Interpreter Service	12 A. Individual Treatment Plan 3 B. Informed Consent 26 C. Medical Care 15 D. Medication 1 E. Periodic Review 0 F. Research/At Risk Procedures 0 G. Knowledge of 0 H. Name of Treatment Staff 18 I. Alternate Treatment Services
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 6 6 A. Records 0 B. Privileged Communications 0 C. Photocopying 0 D. Photographing	0 J. Clinical Review Panel K. Minor Placed with Adults L. Aftercare Plan M. Advance Medical Directive N. Pain Management
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 37 3 A. Clothing 3 B. Diet 7 C. Personal Hygiene 16 D. Safety 4 E. Sanitary 4 F. Humane	13. OTHER 5 2 A. Forensic Issues 1 B. Guardianship 2 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction 14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 2 15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 7
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 22 4 A. Building and Grounds 1 B. General Restrictions 8 C. Least Restrictive Alternative 3 D. Leave of Absence	16. DEATH0 TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES278 FISCAL YEAR 20 08 Spring Grove Hospital Center
1 E. Restraint 1 F. Seclusion 4 G. Quiet Room	-F 9 Habitan delitai

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 20 08 Spring Grove Hospital Center GRIEVANCE 278

				NIC VAIN	CL 210			
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	116	42.0	<18	11	4.0	African American	160	58.0
Male	149	54.0	18-44	137	49.6	Asian	1	0.4
Class	13	4.7	45-64	100	36.2	Caucasian	98	35.5
Total	278		65+	16	5.8	Hispanic	3	1.1
			Class	14	5.1	Other	2	0.7
			Total	278		Class	14	5.1
						Total	278	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

	BUSE 1	8. MONEY 47
1	A. Physical	A. Dissipation of Assets
0	B. Sexual	1 B. Easy Access
0	C. Mental	C. Facility Account
		D. Limitation
2. AD	MISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 2	0 E. Safekeeping
1	A. Admission	 F. Use of Funds
0	B. Hearing	 G. Exploitation
0	C. Transfer	46 H. Entitlements/Benefits
1	D. Discharge	a NECLECT 0
0	E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
U	L. Neapite date	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 0
3. CI	VIL RIGHTS 2	A. Exclusion
0	A. Abortion	B. Limitations
0	B. Verbal Abuse	C. Protection
0	C. Barrier Free Design	0 D. Purchase or Receive
0	D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
0	E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0	F. Dignity	G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0	G. Discrimination	
0	H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 28
1	I. Labor and Compensation	 A. Complaint Forms
0	J. Marriage and Divorce	 B. Explanation of Rights
0	K. Media	 C. Notification of Rights
0	L. Personal Search	 D. Rights Advisor
0	M. Privacy	 E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0	N. Religion	 F. Complaint Procedure
0	O. Sexuality	 G. Retaliation
0	P. Harassment	27 H. Legal Case Review
0	Q. Voting	40 TREATMENT DICUTS
1	R. Immigration	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 5
-1	K. IIIIIIIgration	A. Individual Treatment Plan
4. C	OMMUNICATION and VISITS 0	1 B. Informed Consent
0	A. Attorney/Legal Matters	C. Medical Care
0	B. Clergy	1 D. Medication
0	C. Visitors	E. Periodic Review
0	D. Stationery and Postage	F. Research/At Risk Procedures
0	E. Telephone	0 G. Knowledge of
0	F. Mail	H. Name of Treatment Staff
0	G. Interpreter Service	 Alternate Treatment Services
	A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	J. Clinical Review Panel
	ONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 0	 K. Minor Placed with Adults
0	A. Records	L. Aftercare Plan
0	B. Privileged Communications	 M. Advance Medical Directive
0	C. Photocopying	N. Pain Management
0	D. Photographing	13. OTHER 13
6. FI	IVIRONMENTAL 1	10 A. Forensic Issues
0	A. Clothing	0 B. Guardianship
1	B. Diet	3 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
0	C. Personal Hygiene	
0	D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 4
	E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 253
0	F. Humane	IVI I THE WITTE STATE OF THE ST
0	r. numane	16. DEATH 6
7. FF	REEDOM OF MOVEMENT 3	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 364
1	A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 304
0	B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
1	C. Least Restrictive Alternative	EDDING CROVE HOSPITAL CENTER
1	D. Leave of Absence	SPRING GROVE HOSPITAL CENTER
0	E. Restraint	

0 F. Seclusion 0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES) FY 2008

Spring Grove Hospital Center

364

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE		#	%
Female	115	32	<18	31	9	African American		206	57
Male	249	69	18-44	181	50	Asian		2	0.6
Class	0	0.0	45-64	148	41	Caucasian		154	43
Total	364		65+	4	1	Hispanic		1	0.3
			Class	0	0.0	Other		1	0
			Total	364		Class		0	0.0
							Total	364	

INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE

DECISION AND ACTION (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS) - FY 2008

Spring Grove Hospital Center

CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS

Patients Scheduled for O	ne or Mo	re Panels	16		<u>Legal Status</u>			
Scheduled	Scheduled as an Initial Panel				Not Criminally Respons	sible	0	0.0 %
Scheduled Less than 90 Days Since Last Panel				5.9 % Incompetent to Stan		Trial	7	41.2 %
Scheduled More than 90 Days Since Last Panel				11.8 %	Civilly Commi	itted	0	0.0 %
Total Number of Panels Scheduled								
Decision by Panel				Datio	at December to Denal Decisi	ion		
Decision by Paner				ratio	nt Response to Panel Decisi	OII		
Medication Approved	10	58.8 %		-	sion Not Appealed 5		50.0	%
	10 5	58.8 % 29.4 %		Decis		5 5	50.0	65

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

11.8%

Cancelled Prior to Panel

Number of Appeals Requested 5

Decision by Administrative Law Ju	dge		Patient Response to Panel Decision				
CRP Decision Upheld	4	80.0 %	Decision Not Appealed	2	50.0 %		
CRP Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	Decision Appealed to CC	2	50.0 %		
Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	1	20.0 %					
	Rep	resentation by	Legal Assistance Provider 4				

CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS

Number of Appeals Filed 2

Decision by Circuit Court				
CRP Decision Upheld	1	50.0 %		
ALJ Decision Overturned	1	50.0 %		
Declared Moot/Withdrawn	0	0.0 %		
	Rep	resentation b	y Legal Assistance Provider	2

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS)

Spring Grove Hospital Center

PANELS SCHEDULED 17

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	5	29.0	<18	0	0.0	African-American	9	53.0
Male	12	71.0	18-44	5	29.0	Asian	0	0.0
Total	17		45-64	11	65.0	Caucasian	8	47.0
			65+	1_	6.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Total	17		Other	0	0.0
						Total	17	

RESIDENT GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

UPPER SHORE COMMUNITY MENTAL

HEALTH CENTER

FISCAL YEAR 2008

SHARON WERT RIGHTS ADVISOR

UPPER SHORE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH FISCAL YEAR 08

GRIEVANCES	13
INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES	123
CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS	2
TOTAL RIGHTS ADVISOR CONTACTS	138

RIGHTS CATEGORY	GRIEVANCES	INFORMATION/ ASSISTANCE CASES
ABUSE	0	0
ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER	1	3
CIVIL RIGHTS	8	0
COMMUNICATIONS/VISITS	0	34
CONFIDENTIALITY	1	4
ENVIRONMENTAL	1	1
FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT	0	0
MONEY	0	37
NEGLECT	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	0	7
RIGHTS PROTECTION SYSTEM	0	6
TREATMENT RIGHTS	1	2
OTHER	0	0
NO RIGHT INVOLVED	0	1
RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT	1	28
DEATH	0	0
TOTAL	13	124

DECISION AND ACTION (GRIEVANCE CASES) - FY 2008 Upper Shore Community Mental Health Center

STAGE 1 - RIGHTS ADVISOR 13 GRIEVANCES

			13 G	RIEVANCES		
Decision at Stage 1				Action at Stage 1		
Valid	2	15.4 %		Resolved	9	69.2 %
Invalid	4	30.8 %		Withdrawn	2	15.4 %
Inconclusive	7	53.8 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
Not Investigated	0	0.0 %				
Total Number of Cas	es Close	ed At Stage 1	11	84.6 %		
Total Number of Cas	ses Refer	red To Stage 2-3	2	15.4 %	*****	******
		STA		NIT DIRECTOR RIEVANCES		
Decision at Stage 2			2 0	Action at Stage 2		
Valid	1	50.0 %		Resolved	0	0.0%
Invalid	1	50.0 %		Withdrawn	2	100.0 %
Inconclusive	0	0.0 %		Outside Referral	0	0.0 %
Total Number of Cas	es Close	ed At Stage 2	2	100.0 %		
Total Number of Cas		The state of the s	6.5	0.0 %		
***	********	STAGE 3A	- RESIDE	NT RIGHTS COMMITTEE	*****	*********
			0 GF	RIEVANCES		
Decision at Stage 3A				Action at Stage 3A		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
Total Number of Cas	es Close	ed At Stage 3A	0	%		
Total Number of Cas	es Refer	red To Stage 3B	0	%	*****	***********
		STAGE 3		ERINTENDENT/CEO		
			0 GF	RIEVANCES		
Decision at Stage 3B				Action at Stage 3B		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
nconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
Total Number of Cas	es Close	ed At Stage 3B	0	%		
Total Number of Cas	es Refer	red To Stage 4	0	%		
*************************************	**********	STAGE 4 -		L REVIEW COMMITTEE		*********
Decision at Stage 4				Action at Stage 4		
Valid	0	%		Resolved	0	%
Invalid	0	%		Withdrawn	0	%
Inconclusive	0	%		Outside Referral	0	%
	273					

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (GRIEVANCES)

1. ABUSE 0 0 A. Physical 0 B. Sexual 0 C. Mental 2. ADMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 1 0 B. Hearing 0 A. Admission 1 C. Transfer 0 D. Discharge	8. MONEY 0 O A. Dissipation of Assets O B. Easy Access O C. Facility Account O D. Limitation O E. Safekeeping O F. Use of Funds O G. Exploitation H. Entitlements/Benefits
0 E. Respite Care	9. NEGLECT 0
3. CIVIL RIGHTS 8 O A. Abortion B. Verbal Abuse C. Barrier Free Design D. Business and Personal E. Competency F. Dignity C. Discrimination	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 0 0 A. Exclusion 0 B. Limitations 0 C. Protection 0 D. Purchase or Receive 0 E. Receipt 0 F. Storage 0 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
O H. Education O I. Labor and Compensation O J. Marriage and Divorce O K. Media O L. Personal Search O M. Privacy O N. Religion O O. Sexuality O P. Harassment	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 0 0 A. Complaint Forms 0 B. Explanation of Rights 0 C. Notification of Rights 0 D. Rights Advisor 0 E. Timely Impartial Investigation 0 F. Complaint Procedure 0 G. Retaliation 0 H. Legal Case Review
0 Q. Voting R. Immigration	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS1
4. COMMUNICATION and VISITS 0 O A. Attorney/Legal Matters O B. Clergy O C. Visitors O D. Stationery and Postage E. Telephone O F. Mail O G. Interpreter Service	O A. Individual Treatment Plan B. Informed Consent C. Medical Care D. Medication E. Periodic Review F. Research/At Risk Procedures G. Knowledge of H. Name of Treatment Staff I. Alternate Treatment Services J. Clinical Review Panel
5. CONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 1 0 A. Records 1 B. Privileged Communications 0 C. Photocopying 0 D. Photographing	0 K. Minor Placed with Adults 0 L. Aftercare Plan 0 M. Advance Medical Directive 0 N. Pain Management
6. ENVIRONMENTAL 1 0	13. OTHER 0 0 A. Forensic Issues 0 B. Guardianship 0 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction 14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 0
0 E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 1
7. FREEDOM OF MOVEMENT 0 O A. Building and Grounds O B. General Restrictions C. Least Restrictive Alternative	16. DEATH0 TOTAL GRIEVANCE CASES13 FISCAL YEAR 20 08
0 D. Leave of Absence E. Restraint F. Seclusion	Upper Shore Community Mental Health Center

0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(GRIEVANCE CASES)-FY 2008 Upper Shore Community Mental Health Center

			GN	IEVANC	E3 13			
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	9	69.2	<18	0	0.0	African American	3	23.1
Male	4	30.8	18-44	7	53.8	Asian	0	0.0
Class	0	0.0	45-64	6	46.2	Caucasian	10	76.9
Total	13		65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
10101			Class	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0
			Total	13		Class	0	0.0
						Total	13	

CATEGORIES OF RIGHTS ISSUES (INFORMATION/ASSISTANCE CASES)

1. AE	BUSE 0	8. MONEY 37
0	A. Physical	 A. Dissipation of Assets
0	B. Sexual	0 B. Easy Access
0	C. Mental	0 C. Facility Account
0	D. Verbal	0 D. Limitation
	AMICCIONIDICOLIA DOCETTA NOCETTA	0 E. Safekeeping
	DMISSION/DISCHARGE/TRANSFER 3	0 F. Use of Funds
0	A. Admission	0 G. Exploitation
1	B. Hearing	36 H. Entitlements/Benefits
0	C. Transfer	30 H. Elludements/Dellelits
2	D. Discharge	9. NEGLECT 0
0	E. Respite Care	10. PERSONAL PROPERTY 7
3. CI	VIL RIGHTS 0	A. Exclusion
0	A. Abortion	B. Limitations
0	B. Addressing A Resident	C. Protection
0	C. Barrier Free Design	D. Purchase or Receive
0	D. Business and Personal	0 E. Receipt
0	E. Competency	0 F. Storage
0	F. Dignity	7 G. Theft/Loss/Destruction
0	G. Discrimination	G. THET/LOSS/DESTRUCTION
0	H. Education	11. RIGHTS PROTECTION 6
0	Labor and Compensation	A. Complaint Forms
0	J. Marriage and Divorce	B. Explanation of Rights
	K. Media	C. Notification of Rights
0		D. Rights Advisor
0	L. Personal Search	E. Timely Impartial Investigation
0	M. Privacy	F. Complaint Procedure
0	N. Religion	0 G. Retaliation
0	O. Sexuality	
0	P. Harassment	6 H. Legal Case Review
0	Q. Voting	12. TREATMENT RIGHTS 2
0	R. Immigration	A. Individual Treatment Plan
	DMMUNICATION and VISITS 34	B. Informed Consent
34		C. Medical Care
	A. Attorney/Legal Matters	2 D. Medication
0	B. Clergy	E. Periodic Review
0	C. Visitors	F. Research/At Risk Procedures
0	D. Stationery and Postage	G. Knowledge of
0	E. Telephone	
0	F. Mail	
0	G. Interpreter Service	I. Alternate Treatment Services
5 00	ONFIDENTIALITY and DISCLOSURE 4	J. Clinical Review Panel
	A. Records	K. Minor Placed with Adults
4		L. Aftercare Plan
0	B. Privileged Communications	M. Advance Medical Directive
0	C. Photocopying D. Photographing	N. Pain Management
U	D. Pilotographing	13. OTHER 0
6. EN	IVIRONMENTAL 1	A. Forensic Issues
0	A. Clothing	B. Guardianship
1	B. Diet	 C. Rights Outside Jurisdiction
0	C. Personal Hygiene	44 NO DICUTINION VED
0	D. Safety	14. NO RIGHT INVOLVED 1
0	E. Sanitary	15. RESIDENT/RESIDENT ASSAULT 28
0	F. Humane	
7 FF	EEDOM OF MOVEMENT 0	16. DEATH0
0	A. Building and Grounds	TOTAL INFORMATION CASES 123
0	B. General Restrictions	FISCAL YEAR 2008
		FISCAL TEAR 2000
0	C. Least Restrictive Alternative D. Leave of Absence	UPPER SHORE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEAL
0	L/ Leave Of Absence	
0		
0	E. Restraint	

0 F. Seclusion 0 G. Quiet Room

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION(INFORMATION CASES) FY 2008

Upper Shore Community Mental Health Center

			INFORMATIO	N/ASSIS	STANCE	123		
SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	65	53	<18	0	0	African American	28	23
Male	58	48	18-44	64	52	Asian	0	0.0
Class	0	0.0	45-64	56	46	Caucasian	95	78
Total	123		65+	3	2	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Class	0	0.0	Other	0	0
			Total	123		Class	0	0.0
						Total	123	

DECISION AND ACTION (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS) - FY 2008

Upper Shore Community Mental Health Center

CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS

Patients Scheduled for C	ne or Me	2		Legal Stat	us				
Scheduled	as an Ir	2	100.0 %	Not Criminally Resp	onsible	0		0.0 %	
Scheduled Less than 90 Days Since Last Panel				0.0 %	Incompetent to Stand Trial				0.0 %
Scheduled More than 90 Day	0	0.0 %	Civilly Co	mmitted	0		0.0 %		
Total Number of	Panels :	Scheduled	2						
Decision by Panel				Patier	nt Response to Panel De	ecision			
Medication Approved	2	100.0 %		Decis	sion Not Appealed	1	50.0	%	
Medication Not Approved	0	0.0 %		Decis	sion Appealed to ALJ	1	50.0	%	

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS

0.0%

0.0%

No Decision Reached

Cancelled Prior to Panel

Number of Appeals Requested 1

Decision by Administrative Law Judge			Patient Response to Panel Decision		
CRP Decision Upheld	1	100.0 %	Decision Not Appealed	0	0.0 %
CRP Decision Overturned	0	0.0 %	Decision Appealed to CC	1	100.0 %
Appeal Withdrawn/No Decision	0	0.0 %			
	Rep	resentation by	Legal Assistance Provider 1		

CIRCUIT COURT APPEALS

Number of Appeals Filed 1

			4
1	100.0 %		
0	0.0 %		
0	0.0 %		
Rep	resentation	vider 0	
	1 0 0 Rep	0 0.0 % 0 0.0 %	0 0.0 % 0 0.0 %

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (CLINICAL REVIEW PANELS)

Upper Shore Community Mental Health Center

PANELS SCHEDULED 2

SEX	#	%	AGE	#	%	RACE	#	%
Female	0	0.0	<18	0	0.0	African-American	2	100.0
Male	2	100.0	18-44	2	100.0	Asian	0	0.0
Total	2		45-64	0	0.0	Caucasian	0	0.0
			65+	0	0.0	Hispanic	0	0.0
			Total	2		Other	0	0.0
						Total	2	

PART III

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDERS

Walter P. Carter Hospital Center

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Law Offices of Terri Mason 1825 Woodlawn Drive, Suite 106 Baltimore, Maryland 21207 1-877-607-9748 410-281-1270

ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008

REGION Carter Center		CONTRACTOR	Law Offices of Terri Ma	son
The fo	ollowing data is a cumulative s	summary of data from	m the monthly invoices.	
		PART I		
A.	Cases Processed			
	1. Total number of cases	opened during fiscal	year	30
	2. Total number of cases	closed during fiscal	year	0
	3. Number of cases carrie	d over from previous	s fiscal year	19
	4. Total number of cases	open at close of fisc	al year	49
В.	Legal Proceedings Information details the total billed for each legal proceed with information provided of	eding. The total nur	nber of hours billed should	
			Total No. of cases handled	Total No. of hours billed
	1. Entitlements		27	533.20
	2. Clinical Review Panel A	dministrative Appea	ls	
	a. Administrative Hearin	gs	3	68.80
	b. Appeals to Circuit Co	urt		-
	c. Appeals to Appellate	court	-	
	3. Rights Issues		7	55.10

4. Legal Case Reviews		
a. Automatic Referrals	2	7.80
b. Discretionary Referrals		
5. General Civil Claims		
a. Claims that were referred to other legal providers		
b. Claims that were handled by LAP	-	
6. Quarterly Informational Meetings	-	8.40
7. Annual Staff Training on Entitlements		
B. Brief Intake		17.60

A. Summary of type and amount of benefits / entitlements obtained for each case by facility.

Facility	Type of benefit	Amount of Lump Sum	Amount of Monthl benefit	Υ
		1911	processor representation	321
WPCC	SSI	0	\$680.00	
WPCC	SSI	0	\$680.00	
WPCC	SSI	0	\$680.00	
WPCC	DIB	0	\$870.00	
WPCC	SSI	0	\$680.00	

B. Summary of Legal Issues Identified at Legal Case Reviews (LCR)

Walter P. Carter Center

Per terms of the COE contract, the LAP requested a LCR on behalf of a dually diagnosed patient with mental illness and a developmental disability.

Through the LCR process, the LAP determined that the patient had previously received services through the Development Disabilities Administration (DDA).

The LAP contacted the patient's service coordinator to facilitate assistance with discharge including housing and assessing DDA services in the community.

C. Summary of General Civil Claims

1. Cases Referred to Other Providers:

Name of Facility	Legal Issue	Provider Referred		
WPCC	Criminal	Public Defender		
WPCC	Foreclosure	St. Ambrose Housing		

Cases Approved by Contract Monitor and Handled by LAP:
 There were no cases under this category for this contract year.

Provide a brief narrative of a representative sample of cases for the facility

- Many of the referrals from the Walter P. Carter Center are for assistance with Social Security Disability Benefits (SSDIB) and/or Supplemental Security Income (SSI). The LAP has been successful representing clients concerning these matters.
- The LAP provided representation in forced medication cases. The LAP had favorable outcomes in two of the cases.
- The LAP filed a class action complaint through the Resident
 Grievance System concerning the lack of available phones on the unit.
 The matter is pending before the RGS.

Eastern Shore Hospital Center

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Law Offices Jennings & Treff 109 South Second Street Denton, Maryland 21629 1-410-479-4479

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008

Eastern Shore Hospital

Name of Facility

Jennings & Treff Legal Assistance Provider

TOTAL CASE COUNT

Total number of cases opened during fiscal year	29
Total number of cases closed during fiscal year	3
Number of cases carried over from previous fiscal year	5
Total number of cases open at close of fiscal year	31

SUMMARY OF SERVICES PROVIDED

12

Entitlements

Total Number of Entitlements Referrals/Cases

Detail of Entitlements obtained for residents, indicating type of benefit (Social Security, Veterans, etc.; lump sum amount awarded, and the monthly benefit amount awarded for each case handled:

Type of Benefit	Lump Sum Amount	Monthly Amount
SSI (RGS 168ES08) Back award of \$1,804.69		\$424.67
Total for Year	(Lump Sum)	\$424.67 (Monthly)

Clinical Review Panel Appeals

Number of Administrative Appeals	1
Number of Circuit Court Appeals	(

Rights Issues

Number of issues referred/handled

Narrative summary highlighting a random selection of interesting/unusual cases:

Legal Case Reviews

Number of Legal Case Reviews per subclass:

Residents who have been diagnosed as mentally retarded/developmentally disabled (dually diagnosed) and have been in facility for more than 30 days		16
Residents who have been in the MHA facility for more than 5 years Residents who have been court-committed to a governmental agency or placed in the care and custody of a governmental agency and are presently in a DHMH MHA facility		0
Total Number of Legal Case Reviews	16	
Total Number of Legal Case Reviews in which no legal issues were identified	16	
Total number of Legal Case Reviews in which legal issues were identified	0	
Narrative summary of legal issues identified by LAP:	NONE	
Referrals for General Civil Claims		

Number of requests for inform	nation regarding		
general civil claims	- 12	0	
Number of cases successfully	referred	0	

Names of Legal Providers who accepted LAP's referral for services: N/A, most cases involved general consults on civil and family law issues. Attorney will bring Family Law Forms and District Court Forms to clients and explain how to fill out, and general information to client regarding pro se representation.

Attorney's Signature	Date	
List of topics presented at training:	n/a	
Total number of trainings conducted	none	
Training		
Total number of information meetings conducted	4	
Informational Meetings		
accepted	v	
Number of cases referred to other providers but not accepted	0	
Number of cases that did not result in a referral	0	

Thomas B. Finan Hospital Center

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Linda Golden, Attorney at Law Cumberland, Maryland

ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR OF

Thomas	Name of Facility	enter	
	al Assistance Provide	er	
<u>TO</u>	TAL CASE COUNT		
Total number of cases opened duri	ing fiscal year		
Total number of cases closed during	ng fiscal year		_ 5
Number of cases carried over from p	revious fiscal year)
Total number of cases open at clos	se of fiscal year		
SUMMARY OF SERVICES PROVID	DED		
Entitlements			
Total Number of Entitlements Refe	rrals/Cases		
Detail of Entitlements obtained for (Social Security, Veterans, etc.; lumbenefit amount awarded for each care	np sum amount av	ting type o	f benefit d the monthly
75 47	np Sum nount	•	Monthly Amount

Total for Year	(Lump Sum)		(Monthly)	
Clinical Review Panel Appeals				
Number of Administrative Appea	hls		2	
Number of Circuit Court Appe	eals		1	
Rights Issues				
Number of issues referred/han	dled		٥	
Narrative summary highlighting	ng a random selection	of interest	ing/unusual	cases:
Legal Case Reviews				
Number of Legal Case Review	s per subclass:			
Residents who have been dia retarded/developmentally dis and have been in facility for	sabled (dually diagnosed)		-	20
Residents who have been in for more than 5 years Residents who have been con	urt-committed to a		<u></u>	
governmental agency or place custody of a governmental agency presently in a DHMH MHA	gency and are		<u></u>	D
Total Number of Legal Case Re	eviews		3	
Total Number of Legal Case Re no legal issues were identified	eviews in which	-	3	

-120-

issues were identified	
Narrative summary of legal issues identified by LAP:	
Referrals for General Civil Claims	
Number of requests for information regarding general civil claims	
Number of cases successfully referred	
Names of Legal Providers who accepted LAP's referral	for services:
Number of cases that did not result in a referral	
Number of cases referred to other providers but not accepted	
Informational Meetings	•
Total number of information meetings conducted	4
Training	

DHMH 3982S (04/07)

Total number of trainings conducted	
List of topics presented at training:	
16 F	
	29
Pine Golen	9/8/08
Attorney's Signature	Date

Clifton T. Perkins Hospital Center

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Law Offices of Terri Mason 1825 Woodlawn Drive, Suite 106 Baltimore, Maryland 21207 1-877-607-9748 410-281-1270

ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008

REGIO	ON Perkins	CONTRACTOR	Law Offices of Terri Mason	_
The fo	llowing data is a cumulative su	ummary of data fror	m the monthly invoices.	
		PART I		14
A.	Cases Processed			
	1. Total number of cases o	pened during fiscal	year	200
	2. Total number of cases of	osed during fiscal y	/ear	0
	3. Number of cases carried	over from previous	fiscal year	67
	4. Total number of cases of	pen at close of fisca	al year	267
В.	Legal Proceedings	50	le Control	
	Information details the total billed for each legal proceed with information provided or	ding. The total num	andled and the total number ober of hours billed should be	of hours consistent
			Total No. of cases handled	Total No. of hours billed
	1. Entitlements		29	824.00
	2. Clinical Review Panel Ad	ministrative Appeal	s	
	a. Administrative Hearing	S	18	293.60
	b. Appeals to Circuit Cour	rt		
	c. Appeals to Appellate co	ourt		
	3. Rights Issues		24	394.50

4. Legal Case Reviews		
a. Automatic Referrals	20	77.13
b. Discretionary Referrals		
5. General Civil Claims		
a. Claims that were referred to other legal providers	1	1.00
b. Claims that were handled by LAP		
6. Quarterly Informational Meetings		31.40
7. Annual Staff Training on Entitlements		
8. Brief Intake		99.70

A. Summary of type and amount of benefits / entitlements obtained for each case by facility.

Facility	Type of benefit	Amount of Lump Sum	Amount of Monthly benefit	
CTPH	SSI	0	\$680.00	
CTPH	SSI	0	\$680.00	
CTPH	DIB	0	\$826.00	
CTPH	DIB	0	\$1,450.00	
CTPH	DIB	0	\$1,100.00	
CTPH	DIB	0	\$1,200.00	

B. Summary of Legal Issues Identified at Legal Case Reviews (LCR)

The LAP requested LCR on behalf of clients who were dually diagnosed and not receiving appropriate treatment to address their non-mental health. Among other things, the LAP requested DDA services and/or additional DDA services.

C. Summary of General Civil Claims

1. Cases Referred to Other Providers:

Name of Facility	Legal Issue	Provider Referred
CTPHC	Criminal	Public Defender
CTPHC	Criminal	Public Defender
CTPHC	Criminal	Law Offices of Norris Ramsey
CTPHC	Special Needs Trust	Law Offices of Jason Frank
CTPHC	Medical Malpractice	Law Offices of Kerry Staton
CTPHC	Tort	Law of Stephen L. Miles

Cases Approved by Contract Monitor and Handled by LAP:
 There were no such cases during this fiscal year.

Provide a brief narrative of a representative sample of cases for each facility in the region.

Clifton T. Perkins Hospital

- The LAP represented clients before Administrative Law Judges in forced medication hearings. In cases where the LAP was not successful, the LAP filed appeals in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City and Howard County.
- 2. The LAP was successful in representing a number of patients before Administrative Law Judges in forced medication hearings; i.e., the ALJ reversed the decision of the panel which provided the facility with the authority to forcibly medicate the client.
- 2. The LAP assisted with and/or filed Tort Claims for a number of patients at the facility who alleged that they had been injured by acts of omission or commission by Clifton T. Perkins Hospital. The LAP referred the clients to other attorneys for further representation after the initial claims were filed.
- 3. The LAP file a Tort Claim on behalf of a patient who alleged that he was injured due to a sexual assault by a staff person at the facility. The LAP referred the client to a private attorney for further representation.

- 4. The LAP filed a grievance through the Resident grievance system on behalf of a patient who was alleged sexually assaulted verbally abused by a staff person over a period to time. The grievance was determined to be valid. The staff person's position was terminated. A grievance was filed with the nursing board concerning the staff person who is a registered nurse.
- 5. A number of patients at the facility requested assistance concerning special needs trusts. The LAP developed a relationship with a firm who is willing to go to the facility to assist clients with these trusts. This firm has assisted a number of clients due to the referrals of the LAP. Legally protecting the client's resources puts the client in a better position preserve resources that can be utilized for housing and other needs after discharge from the facility.

Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents Baltimore

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Ria P. Rochvarg, P.A. P. O. Box 305 West Friendship, Maryland 21794 1-866-313-9725

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT FOR

R	EUEINED
	SEP 2 9 2008

system

FISCAL YEAR2008	SEP 2 9 200
RICA	
Name of facility	
Ria P. Rochvarg, P.A.	
Legal Assistance Provider	
The second of th	
TOTAL CASE COUNT	
Total number of cases opened during fiscal year	17
Total number of cases closed during fiscal year	17
Number of cases carried over from previous fiscal year	00
Total number of cases open at close of fiscal year	00
SUMMARY OF SERVICES PROVIDE	D
Entitlements	
Total Number of Entitlements	00

Referrals/Cases

Detail of Entitlements obtained for residents, indicating type of benefit (Social Security, Veterans, etc.; lump sum amount awarded, and the monthly benefit amount awarded for each case handled:

Type of Benefit No Data	Lump Sum Amount	Monthly Amount		
Total for Year	\$0.00	\$0.00		
	(Lump Sum)	(Monthly)		
Clinical Review Panel Appeals				
Number of Administrati	00			
Number of Circuit Cour	00			
Rights Issues				
Number of issues refer	05			

Narrative summary highlighting a random selection of interesting/unusual cases:

- Client contacted LAP seeking assistance in getting his discharged papers signed so that he
 could leave RICA-Baltimore. The two agencies that collectively checked him in both refused to
 give their signature for discharge, stating that providing signature was the other agency's
 responsibility. LAP called the supervisor at the Department of Juvenile Services who consented
 to signing Client's discharge papers.
- 2. Client contacted LAP claiming that he was not receiving his clothing allowance from the Anne Arundel County Department of Social Services. LAP drafted a letter to the AAC DSS requesting reimbursement for clothing purchased for the Client by RICA-Baltimore and reinstatement of Client's clothing allowance. AAC DSS reimbursed RICA-Baltimore as well as re-instated Client's clothing allowance.

3. Female Clients at RICA-Baltimore, collectively, sought assistance from LAP in obtaining hair care and hair care products. LAP consulted local beauty shops as well as salons for available services at reduced rates for Clients at RICA-Baltimore. Clients were able to obtain the services and products that they desired.

Legal Case Reviews

Number of Legal Case Reviews per subclass:

Residents who have been diagnosed as mentally retarded/developmentally disabled (dually diagnosed) and have been in facility for more than 30 days

00

Residents who have been in the MHA facility for more than 5 years

00

Residents who have been court-committed to a governmental agency or placed in the care and custody of a governmental agency and are presently in a DHMH MHA facility

13

Total Number of Legal Case Review

13

Total Number of Legal Case Reviews in which no legal issues were identified

01

Total number of Legal Case Reviews in which legal issues were identified

12

Narrative summary of legal issues identified by LAP:

1. Four Clients were in need of Individualized Education Plans ("IEP") in order to

complete the discharge process. LAP worked with RICA principle to coordinate IEP meetings for Clients at their requisite home schools. IEP's were completed for each Client.

- Client contacted LAP with concerns with IEP and opportunity to return to his home school. LAP coordinated IEP at home school and Client returned home to live with his mother and attend his home school.
- 3. LAP was contacted by Clinician of Client in order to facilitate discharge. Clinician believed that Client was no longer being helped by being at RICA and that he was ready for immediate discharge. LAP coordinated meeting with Social Worker, Client, and Clinician where discharge options were detailed.
- Client contacted LAP concerning Social Security's failure to disperse monthly stipend.
 LAP called Social Worker concerning the stipend and the monthly stipend was re-established.

Referrals for General Civil Claims

Number of requests for information regarding general civil claims	_00_
Number of cases successfully referred	_00_
Names of Legal Providers who accepted LAP's referral for See attached	services:
Number of cases that did not result in a referral	_00
Number of cases referred to other providers but not accepted	_00_
Informational Meetings	
Total number of information meetings conducted	12

Training

Total number of trainings conducted

00

List of topics presented at training:

Attorney's Signature

Date

John L. Gildner Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents - Rockville

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Hamlin & Swain, LLC 8700 Georgia Avenue, Suite 304 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 1-301-589-4445

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008

John L. Gildner Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents

Hamlin & Swain, LLC 8701 Georgia Ave., Suite 605 Silver Spring, MD 20910

TOTAL CASE COUNT

Total number of cases opened during fiscal year	10
Total number of cases closed during fiscal year	9
Number of cases carried over from previous fiscal year	0
Total number of cases open at close of fiscal year	1

SUMMARY OF SERVICES PROVIDED

Entitlements

Total Number of Entitlements Referrals/Cases 1

Detail of Entitlements obtained for residents, indicating type of benefit (Social Security, Veterans, etc.; lump sum amount awarded, and the monthly benefit amount awarded for each case handled:

Type of Benefit	Lump Sum Amount	Monthly Amount
Total for Year	(Lump Sum)	(Monthly)

Clinical Review Panel Appeals

Number of Administrative Appeals 0

Number of Circuit Court Appeals 0

Rights Issues

Number of issues referred/handled 10

Narrative summary highlighting a random selection of interesting/unusual cases:

Rights Issues: The LAP received a referral from the Resident Grievance Advisor regarding a resident whose legal guardian is an illegal immigrant. The resident needed assistance with applying for state sponsored medical insurance. The resident's legal guardian does not speak English and the LAP provided translation services. The LAP assisted the resident's guardian in navigating through the Medicaid application and eligibility process and explained which benefits the resident may be entitled regardless of immigrant status.

LAP referred a resident regarding discharge/aftercare plan placement. The LAP met with the therapist, treatment team, RGA, school personnel and college representative to discuss the resident's rights and possible matriculation to college. The LAP also met with the resident's Court Appointed Special Advocate to discuss the resident's curriculum, employment and extra curricular activities.

The LAP referred a resident's matter to outside counsel for civil representation. The resident's guardians requested assistance with termination of parental rights and/or alternate placement. The LAP met with the resident, therapist, Resident Grievance Advisor and attorney to discuss legal options.

Legal Case Reviews

Number of Legal Case Reviews per subclass:

Residents who have been diagnosed as mentally retarded/developmentally disabled (dually diagnosed)

	and have been in facility for more than 30 days	0	
	Residents who have been in the MHA facility for more than 5 years	0	
	Residents who have been court-committed to a governmental agency or placed in the care and custody of a governmental agency and are presently in a DHMH MHA facility	7	
	Total Number of Legal Case Reviews	7	
	Total Number of Legal Case Reviews in which no legal issues were identified	0	
	Total number of Legal Case Reviews in which legal issues were identified	7	
Narrative summary of legal issues identified by LAP:			
In conducting the legal case reviews, the LAP has identified the following issues for fiscal year 2008:			
3	-Guardianship (Resident wants to terminate legal guardianship)		
-Medical Benefits (Residents and/or the parent/guardian have requested assistance with applying for state sponsored medical insurance)			
]	Referrals for General Civil Claims		
	Number of requests for information regarding general civil claims	1	
1	Number of cases successfully referred	1	
	Names of Legal Providers who accepted LAP's referral for services:	Jacqueline Ngole, Esq.	
1	Number of cases that did not result in a referral	0	

0

Number of cases referred to other providers but not accepted

Informational Meetings

Total number of information meetings conducted

4

Training

Total number of trainings conducted

1

List of topics presented at training:

-Patient's Rights and the role of the LAP

Attorney's Signature

Date

Regional Institute for Children & Adolescents - Southern

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Hamlin & Swain, LLC 8700 Georgia Avenue, Suite 304 Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 1-301-589-4445

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008

Regional Institute for Children and Adolescents Southern

Hamlin & Swain, LLC 8701 Georgia Ave., Suite 605 Silver Spring, MD 20910

	TOTAL CASE COUNT			
Total number of cases open	ed during fiscal year	8		
Total number of cases close	d during fiscal year	8		
Number of cases carried ov	er from previous fiscal year	0		
Total number of cases open	at close of fiscal year	0		
SUMM	ARY OF SERVICES PROV	<u>IDED</u>		
Entitlements				
Total Number of Entitlemen	ts Referrals/Cases	2		
Detail of Entitlements obtained for residents, indicating type of benefit (Social Security, Veterans, etc.; lump sum amount awarded, and the monthly benefit amount awarded for each case handled:				
Type of Benefit	Lump Sum Amount	Monthly Amount		
Total for Year	(Lump Sum)	$\frac{0}{\text{(Monthly)}}$		

Clinical Review Panel Appeals

Number of Administrative Appeals 0

Number of Circuit Court Appeals 0

Rights Issues

Number of issues referred/handled 8

Narrative summary highlighting a random selection of interesting/unusual cases:

Rights Issues: LAP provided legal opinions and attended meetings regarding residents with IEPs but who were not attending school. Many of the residents placed on the evaluation unit and committed by the court did not have the educational component. LAP met with staff and brought a class action on behalf of these residents and proceeded with a stage 4 complaint.

The LAP referred residents to the Resident Grievance Advisor regarding the closing of the facility. LAP identified residents on the evaluation unit in addition to long term residents who needed to be transferred to other facilities. The attorneys worked with staff including the medical director at each facility to ensure that the closure of RICA Southern would not adversely affect the resident's rights.

Legal Case Reviews

Number of Legal Case Reviews per subclass:

Residents who have been diagnosed as mentally retarded/developmentally disabled (dually diagnosed) and have been in facility for more than 30 days 0

Residents who have been in the MHA facility for more than 5 years 0

Residents who have been court-committed to a governmental agency or placed in the care and custody of a governmental agency and are presently in a DHMH MHA facility 8

Total Number of Legal Case Reviews 5

Total Number of Legal Case Reviews in which no legal issues were identified	0	
Total number of Legal Case Reviews in which legal issues were identified	5	
Narrative summary of legal issues identified by LAP:		
In conducting the legal case reviews, the LAP has identified the fe 2008:	ollowing issues for fiscal year	
-Education (Residents who are court committed and have an IEP	but are not receiving education)	
-Transportation (Residents are either placed outside of their jurisd parent/guardian do not have access to transportation to attend ther		
-Discharge/Placement (Residents and/or the parent/guardian have coordinating discharge and placement of current residents to altern centers, group-homes and/or private residence)	-	
Referrals for General Civil Claims		
Number of requests for information regarding general civil claims	0	
Number of cases successfully referred	0	
Names of Legal Providers who accepted LAP's referral for services:		
Number of cases that did not result in a referral	0	
Number of cases referred to other providers but not accepted	0	
Informational Meetings		
Total number of information meetings conducted	4	
Training		
Total number of trainings conducted	1	

List of topics presented at training:

-The Resident Grievance System

Attorney's Signature

0/21/08 Date

Springfield Hospital Center

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Ria P. Rochvarg, P.A. P. O. Box 305 West Friendship, Maryland 21794 1-866-313-9725

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR ____ 2008___



Springfield Hospital Center		
Name of facility		
Ria P. Rochvarg, P.A.		
Legal Assistance Provider		
TOTAL CASE COUNT		
Total number of cases opened during fiscal year	193	
Total number of cases closed during fiscal year		
Number of cases carried over from previous fiscal year		
Total number of cases open at close of fiscal year		
SUMMARY OF SERVICES PROVIDE	ED	
<u>Entitlements</u>		
Total Number of Entitlements	71	

Referrals/Cases

Detail of Entitlements obtained for residents, indicating type of benefit (Social Security, Veterans, etc.; lump sum amount awarded, and the monthly benefit amount awarded for each case handled:

Lump Sum Amount	Monthly Amount
\$1.911.00	\$637.00
12.305.2000.000	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
\$4,221.10	\$806.00
\$.00	\$637.00
\$17,605.04	\$623.00
\$18,526.82	\$637.00
\$8,400.00	\$623.00
\$.00	\$687.00
\$.00	\$687.00
\$ 709.20	\$187.30
\$51,373.16	\$5,524.30
	\$1,911.00 \$4,221.10 \$.00 \$17,605.04 \$18,526.82 \$8,400.00 \$.00 \$.00 \$ 709.20

Clinical Review Panel Appeals

Number of Administrative Appeals	14
Number of Circuit Court Appeals	7
Rights Issues	
Number of issues referred/handled	104
Narrative summary highlighting a random selection of	

interesting/unusual cases:

- LAP filed a grievance on behalf of court committed Client because Client stated that
 several personal use items, such as shampoo and lotion, were confiscated from them by
 staff as contraband. The grievance revealed that staff were keeping the Client's items
 believing that they contained alcohol, were potentially flammable and therefore
 contraband. The grievance was found to be valid because the items were not contraband
 as defined by policy. The items were returned to the client.
- LAP filed a grievance on behalf of all of the residents of a particular unit. Client's on that
 unit complained about the cleanliness of the shower area on that unit. The grievance was
 found to be valid and shower shoes were ordered for Client's on the unit to address the
 issue.
- 3. LAP filed a grievance on behalf of a court committed Client. Client reported that they had asked to see a somatic physician and been told by staff that their name was placed in the somatic referral book. Client was never seen by the somatic physician for her complaint and the grievance was found to be valid. The policy of every somatic referral being addressed was reviewed with the Director of Somatic Services, who was to follow up with other somatic physicians and provide education on the policy.

Legal Case Reviews

Number of Legal Case Reviews per subclass:

Residents who have been diagnosed as mentally retarded/developmentally 09 disabled (dually diagnosed) and have been in facility for more than 30 days Residents who have been in 17 the MHA facility for more than 5 years Residents who have been court-committed to a governmental agency or 11 placed in the care and custody of a governmental agency and are presently in a DHMH MHA facility

Total Number of Legal Case Reviews in which Legal Issues were Identified:

11

Narrative Summary of Legal Issues Identified by LAP:

- Client residing at SGHC with Mental Retardation and for more than 5 years was referred
 for a Legal Case Review. Upon reviewing the Client's medical record, the LAP noted
 that Client had been assaulted by peers a number of times as a result of his intrusive
 behavior which frequently annoyed others. A grievance was filed which was found to be
 invalid, but as a result of the investigation it was agreed that a behavior plan would be
 developed by the treatment team to keep Client safe.
- 2. Client residing at SGHC for more than 5 years and under court order was referred for a Legal Case Review. Upon reviewing the Client's medical record, the LAP noted an instance in which an emergency inter-muscular ("IM") medication was administered without any supporting documentation of an emergency. A grievance was filed and found valid and specific measures were undertaken to educate nursing department staff about the requirements for administration of emergency IM medications.
- 3. Client residing at SGHC with Mental Retardation was referred for a Legal Case Review. Upon reviewing the Client's medical record, the LAP noted that there were five months worth of progress notes missing from the file. A grievance was filed and found valid and the progress notes were located and placed in Client's file.
- 4. Client residing at SGHC under a court commitment was referred for a Legal Case Review. Upon reviewing the Client's medical record, the LAP noted that the Forensic Review Board had approved client for additional privileges upon completion of an administrative task by Client's social worker. Though many months had passed, Client remained on the same privilege level status. The LAP filed a grievance and it was found valid. Client's approved privilege increase was implemented.

Referrals for General Civil Claims

Attorney's Signature	Date	
Richard	9/26/2008	
List of topics presented at training:		
Total number of trainings conducted	00	
Training		
Total number of information meetings conducted	<u>40</u>	
<u>Informational Meetings</u>		
Number of cases referred to other providers but accepted	not	
Number of cases that did not result in a referral	02	
Names of Legal Providers who accepted LAP's re	eferral for services	
Number of cases successfully referred Anupa Mukhopadhyay, Esquire	01	
	03	
Number of requests for information regarding general civil claims		

Spring Grove Hospital Center

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Ria P. Rochvarg, P.A. P. O. Box 305 West Friendship, Maryland 21794 1-866-313-9725

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR _____ 2008____

SEP 2 9 2008

Resident Gnevance system

Spring Grove Hospital Center	
Name of facility	
Ria P. Rochvarg, P.A.	
Legal Assistance Provider	
TOTAL CASE COUNT	
Total number of cases opened during fiscal year	225
Total number of cases closed during fiscal year	191
Number of cases carried over from previous fiscal year	59
Total number of cases open at close of fiscal year	93
SUMMARY OF SERVICES PROVIDE	D
<u>Entitlements</u>	
Total Number of Entitlement	95

Referrals/Cases

Detail of Entitlements obtained for residents, indicating type of benefit (Social Security, Veterans, etc.; lump sum amount awarded, and the monthly benefit amount awarded for each case handled:

Type of Benefit	Lump Sum Amount	Monthly Amount		
SSI	\$1246.02	\$424.67		
SSI	\$183.05	\$.00		
SSDI	\$3828.60	\$645.00		
SSI	\$3815.00	\$637.00		
SSI	\$.00	\$600.00		
SSI	\$.00	\$637.00		
SSDI	\$.00	\$.00		
Total for Year	\$9,072.67	\$2,943.67		

Clinical Review Panel Appeals

Number of Administrative Appeals	04
Number of Circuit Court Appeals	02
Rights Issues	
Number of issues referred/handled	179

Narrative summary highlighting a random selection of interesting/unusual cases:

- 1. LAP filed a grievance on behalf of court committed Client because Client stated that several personal use items, such as shampoo and lotion, were confiscated from them by staff as contraband. The grievance revealed that staff were keeping the Client's items believing that they contained alcohol, were potentially flammable and therefore contraband. The grievance was found to be valid because the items were not contraband as defined by policy. The items were returned to the client.
- 2. LAP filed a grievance on behalf of all of the residents of a particular unit. Clients on

- that unit complained about the cleanliness of the shower area on that unit. The grievance was found to be valid and shower shoes were ordered for Clients on the unit to address the issue.
- 3. LAP filed a grievance on behalf of a court committed Client. Client reported that they had asked to see a somatic physician and been told by staff that their name was placed in the somatic referral book. Client was never seen by the somatic physician for her complaint and the grievance was found to be valid. The policy of every somatic referral being addressed was reviewed with the Director of Somatic Services, who was to follow up with other somatic physicians and provide education on the policy.

Legal Case Reviews

Number	of	Legal	Case	Reviews	per	subclass:
--------	----	-------	------	---------	-----	-----------

Residents who have been diagnosed as mentally retarded/developmentally 07 disabled (dually diagnosed) and have been in facility for more than 30 days Residents who have been in 10 the MHA facility for more than 5 years Residents who have been court-committed to a governmental agency or 09 placed in the care and custody of a governmental agency and are presently in a DHMH MHA facility

Total Number of Legal Case Reviews	_26_
Total Number of Legal Case Reviews in which no legal issues were identified	08
Total number of Legal Case Reviews in which legal issues were identified	_18_

Narrative summary of legal issues identified by LAP:

- Client residing at SGHC with Mental Retardation and for more than 5 years was referred
 for a Legal Case Review. Upon reviewing the Client's medical record, the LAP noted
 that Client had been assaulted by peers a number of times as a result of his intrusive
 behavior, which frequently annoyed others. A grievance was filed which was found to
 be invalid, but as a result of the investigation it was agreed that a behavior plan would be
 developed by the treatment team to keep Client safe.
- 2. Client residing at SGHC for more than 5 years and under court order was referred for a Legal Case Review. Upon reviewing the Client's medical record, the LAP noted an instance in which an emergency inter-muscular ("IM") medication was administered without any supporting documentation of an emergency. A grievance was filed and found valid and specific measures were undertaken to educate nursing department staff about the requirements for administration of emergency IM medications.
- Client residing at SGHC with Mental Retardation was referred for a Legal Case Review.
 Upon reviewing the Client's medical record, the LAP noted that there were five months
 worth of progress notes missing from the file. A grievance was filed and found valid and
 the progress notes were located and placed in Client's file.
- 4. Client residing at SGHC under a court commitment was referred for a Legal Case Review. Upon reviewing the Client's medical record, the LAP noted that the Forensic Review Board had approved client for additional privileges upon completion of an administrative task by Client's social worker. Though many months had passed, Client remained on the same privilege level status. The LAP filed a grievance and it was found valid. Client's approved privilege increase was implemented.

Referrals for General Civil Claims

Number of requests for information regarding general civil claims	_01_
Number of cases successfully referred	_00
Names of Legal Providers who accepted LAP's referral for servi	ces:
Number of cases that did not result in a referral	_01
Number of cases referred to other providers but not accepted	00

Upper Shore Community Mental Health Center

LEGAL ASSISTANCE PROVIDER ANNUAL REPORT Fiscal Year 2008

Law Offices Jennings & Treff 109 South Second Street Denton, Maryland 21629 1-410-479-4479